

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 234 483

EA 016 066

AUTHOR Frechtling, Joy A.; Frankel, Steven M.  
TITLE A Survey of Montgomery County Parents Who Transferred Their Children between Public and Private Schools in 1980-81.  
INSTITUTION Montgomery County Public Schools, Rockville, Md. Dept. of Educational Accountability.  
PUB DATE Jun 82  
NOTE 80p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association (Montreal, Quebec, Canada, April 11-15, 1983).  
PUB TYPE Reports - Research/Technical (143)  
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC04 Plus Postage.  
DESCRIPTORS Elementary Secondary Education; \*Enrollment Influences; \*Parent Attitudes; Parent School Relationship; Private Schools; Public Schools; \*School Choice; Surveys; Tables (Data); \*Withdrawal (Education)  
IDENTIFIERS Montgomery County; Public Schools MD

ABSTRACT

This 1980-81 survey, which follows up a similar 1979-80 study, explores parents' reasons for transferring their children into or out of Montgomery County Public Schools (MCPS) in Rockville, Maryland. A total of 277 parents of students leaving MCPS for private schools in Maryland and 281 parents of students entering from private schools in Maryland were interviewed. The sample's subdivisions are students transferring to or from nonchurch schools and students transferring to or from church-related schools in grade 1 and grades 2 through 12. Study limitations arise from exclusion both of parents who transferred children into or out of private schools in Washington, D.C., or Virginia, and of parents whose children have always attended private schools. The study recommends that public school educators learn from private school practice. Three exhibits examine eight general categories of reasons (including religion, parental values, discipline, and costs) for initial enrollment in public or private schools and for private school withdrawal. Fourteen tables examine characteristics of students and parents involved in public or private school enrollment or withdrawal, reasons for such actions, and parent attitudes. Nineteen appendixes include survey instruments, correlations between percentages of minority students and transferring white students, and parent attitude statistics. (PB)

\*\*\*\*\*  
\* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made \*  
\* from the original document. \*  
\*\*\*\*\*

MONTGOMERY COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS  
Rockville, Maryland

ED234483

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION  
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION  
CENTER (ERIC)

X This document has been reproduced as  
received from the person or organization  
originating it.  
Minor changes have been made to improve  
reproduction quality.

- Points of view or opinions stated in this docu-  
ment do not necessarily represent official NIE  
position or policy.

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS  
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

*D. Hymes*

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES  
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

A Survey of  
Montgomery County Parents  
Who Transferred Their Children  
Between Public and Private  
Schools in 1980-81

JUNE 1982

EDWARD ANDREWS  
Superintendent of Schools

---

Prepared by the Department of Educational Accountability

EA 016 066

MONTGOMERY COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS  
Rockville, Maryland

A SURVEY OF MONTGOMERY COUNTY PARENTS WHO TRANSFERRED THEIR CHILDREN  
BETWEEN PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SCHOOLS IN 1980-81

by

Dr. Joy A. Frechtling  
Dr. Steven M. Frankel

Steven M. Frankel, Director  
Department of Educational Accountability

Joy A. Frechtling, Director  
Instructional Evaluation &  
Testing

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

### A SURVEY OF MONTGOMERY COUNTY PARENTS WHO WITHDREW THEIR CHILDREN FROM PUBLIC TO PRIVATE SCHOOLS IN 1980-81

#### BACKGROUND

In 1979, the MCPS Board of Education, requested that the Department of Educational Accountability investigate why parents were withdrawing their children from the public schools. In the course of this undertaking, the results of which are reported in an earlier study by Edwards et al A Survey of MCPS Withdrawals To Attend Private School, it was found that not only did about 2 percent of the MCPS student population withdraw from MCPS each year but that a nearly corresponding percentage returned to MCPS.

The present study was initiated to follow up on these findings and gather further information on why parents chose to transfer their children into or out of MCPS. Its major purpose was to identify policies and practices which MCPS might modify to retain and attract more students to the public schools.

To accomplish this, telephone interviews were conducted with a sample of parents of children in the first through twelfth grades who had transferred their child during the 1980-81 school year.<sup>2</sup> A total of 277 parents of students leaving MCPS for private schools in Maryland and 281 parents of students entering from private schools in Maryland were interviewed. During that year, the total number of students withdrawn from MCPS to attend private schools in Maryland was 1,672, the total number entering MCPS from Maryland private schools was 1,205.

Each sample was further divided into three groups: those transferring to or from nonchurch schools, those transferring to or from church-related schools at the first grade (called Church 1), and those transferring to or from church-related schools in Grades 2 through 12 (called Church 2-12). The sample was subdivided this way because preliminary inspection of the data indicated that these three groups differed systematically in their responses in a number of key areas.

---

<sup>1</sup>S. Edwards, W. Richardson, and S. Frankel. A Survey of MCPS Withdrawals to Attend Private School. (Rockville, Md.: Montgomery County Public Schools, February, 1981).

<sup>2</sup>Kindergarteners were eliminated from the data base because too frequently they sign up for enrollment but never actually attend; children in Special Education Centers were also not considered.

In interpreting this research, it is important to keep in mind some possible limitations in making generalizations about the findings. First, this study, like that of Edwards (1981), included only parents of students transferring into and out of private schools in Maryland. Students who transferred into or out of private schools in Washington, D.C., or Virginia were not included.<sup>3</sup> This raises the question of whether or not the study fails to represent the opinions of these parents.

This question was examined by looking at the names of the private schools attended by students in the study. It was found that the sample contained substantial numbers of parents who were sending their children to prestigious private schools in Maryland, such as Holton Arms and Landon, which are direct competitors of the Virginia and D.C. private schools. Given these findings, the researchers are of the opinion that this is not serious. The data from the parents sending their children to prestigious Maryland private schools should permit generalization to the D.C. and Virginia parents as well.

A more serious limitation is that the study did not include parents who initially enrolled their children in private schools and have kept their children there. Thus, we can say nothing about why some parents never consider enrolling their child in the public schools or what might be done to attract them. This is a more serious problem and one which should be remediated in future studies.

---

<sup>3</sup>This occurred because the codes used by MCPS to record transfers from and to private schools, only recognize two types of transfers: within-state and out-of-state. Expanding the study to include the entire Washington metropolitan area would have required costly manual procedures to separate the Washington metropolitan area transfers from those including the 48 other states.

## FINDINGS

This study shows that parents withdraw their children from the Montgomery County Public Schools for a variety of reasons and that different groups are seeking different things when they make the decision to transfer their child to private school. Further, in contrast to the Edwards (1981) study, the present analysis suggests that religion is a critical factor for only a small group of parents (approximately 25%) and that factors potentially under the control of the school system are the cause of dissatisfaction for the vast majority.

Of the three groups, only those who withdrew to church-related schools at the first grade level appear to place a great deal of emphasis on religion in reaching their decision. They seem generally to have made the decision to transfer to private schools even before entering MCPS and only use MCPS because of the lack of availability of kindergarten or first grades in some private schools. In addition, there is some evidence from the demographic data that this group is more "private school oriented." That is, they tend more than the other two groups to have other children in private school and are less likely to have the children remaining in public school.

The other two groups, those who transferred their children to church-related schools in Grades 2 through 12 and those who transferred their children to nonchurch-related schools, generally do so because of dissatisfaction with the way the school conducts its business of educating children. The data suggest loud and clear that these parents wanted a more individualized environment, smaller classes, and programs that they feel will meet more effectively their children's academic needs. These parents also found fault with their interpersonal relationships with school administrators and teachers, expressing dissatisfaction with staff sensitivity and level of communication. Interestingly, concerns with bussing and desegregation, drug abuse, crime, and vandalism were minimal. The desire for increased discipline and structure in the schools also emerged as important, especially for those parents who withdrew their students to church-related schools at the second to twelfth grade levels.

In contrast, those who transfer from private to public schools are not really dissatisfied with the private school program. While some do express concern with the educational program being delivered, convenience and cost are the major reasons for leaving the private school. The data show that the idea of "neighborhood schools" retains a very large drawing power, both in terms of where parents choose to enroll their child initially and why they may ultimately decide to withdraw their child from a private school.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

These findings provoke some interesting questions regarding current MCPS policies and practices. For example, the county government must soon be making some important policy decisions concerning ways of reusing the 30 plus public schools about to be closed over the next three years. At present no definite policy exists regarding priorities to be used in the recycling of such buildings, and a system for weighing the merits of alternative users is not in place. It can be predicted, however, that a demand for such buildings will come from the private school sector, seeking improved or better located facilities. The findings of this study suggest that the leasing of public school buildings to private schools may not be to the advantage of the public school system. Depending upon the particular circumstances of the neighborhood, the availability of more "neighborhood private schools" could have a very negative impact on the public school system and increase problems associated with declining enrollments. This concern must however be balanced off against the obvious desirability of the private school to the neighborhood and the increased revenues that would accrue to the county as a whole if private schools were encouraged to lease closed buildings.

How to handle the dissatisfied attitude of the small percentage of parents who withdrew their children from MCPS poses other problems and must itself be kept in perspective. Many parents are satisfied with MCPS, and the level of satisfaction is high compared to national data. The MCPS 1981 countywide telephone survey conducted in the Spring of 1981 revealed that 50 percent of the countywide sample and 65 percent of MCPS parents gave the school grades of A or B<sup>4</sup>, while only 36% of all 1981 Gallup Poll respondents and 46% of the polled parents graded public school A or B.<sup>5</sup>

More importantly, the functions of the two school systems are meant to be different.

Private schools educate only those children whose family elect to send them to the schools and whom the schools accept. Public schools, on the other hand, do not control the composition of their student bodies by excluding students; they cannot, for example, dismiss children whose behavior disrupts the education of others or who cannot meet some pre-established (sic) standard of academic aptitude. Public schools meet public needs and carry out public policy. The genius of U.S. public education is in its diversity... Nowhere in the world is access to educational opportunity broader than in the United States. Our system of free public education is a cornerstone of our democratic society.<sup>6</sup>

---

<sup>4</sup>"How the Community Sees Its Schools," MCPS Learning, October, 1981, p.2.

<sup>5</sup>G. Gallup, "The 13th Annual Gallup Poll," Phi Delta Kappan, 33-47, 63 (1), (1981).

<sup>6</sup>J. Sparling, "Tuition Tax Credits," Today's Education, (November-December, 1981), p.16.

Nonetheless, if MCPS is interested in attempting to retain or attract the parents who seek private schools, this study does suggest some areas which might be explored. While there is little that can be done to satisfy the needs of those who seek a religious education, it may be possible to meet the needs of those who leave because of dissatisfaction with the educational program. The data show that many of the parents who withdrew their child from public school did so because they felt their children's academic needs were not being met. They were especially concerned about class size and the individual attention that their child was able to receive. Further they seemed to be seeking a more personalized atmosphere in which their needs as parents, as well as those of their children, were individually addressed.

MCPS may wish to explore possible alternative ways of reducing class size to the levels which so many parents find attractive, and even to the levels where substantial academic benefits have been found. This is usually considered to be about 15:1 and in fact, researchers have almost universally found that decreases in class size that do not reduce class size to at least 20:1 will have no academic benefits. We might also want to consider other means of creating a more personalized atmosphere in our schools.

While some will say that we have been down both of these routes many times before, and that the truly effective solutions are either financially or politically impossible, we don't think that this is necessarily the case. After all, the private schools which are attracting our students are probably operating under financial constraints at least as tight as our own, and they are hiring staff from the same labor markets.

Also, while only a small percentage of the MCPS population actually transfer to private schools because of concerns regarding class size and individualization, it is likely that many of those who stay with, or never enter, the public schools share some of the dissatisfaction. This also makes it worth reexamining MCPS practices to see whether or not there are ways in which a more personalized, individualized environment could be provided.

One means of doing this would be to examine closely what the more successful local private schools are doing. The initial goal would be to try to determine the degree to which they are truly providing individualized instruction and small class sizes, and the degree to which they are benefiting from an image which is not reflected in the reality of their actual instructional environments. Then, if it is found that they are more effective in these respects than are our own schools, the next goal would be to determine how they are doing it and whether their strategies are adoptable to MCPS.

We strongly suggest that this be undertaken as a follow-on activity to this report. Just as Giant sends comparison shoppers into Safeway to see how they are pricing and displaying their goods, it is about time that we started taking the private schools of the Country more seriously and realizing that we may have something to learn from them.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
BACKGROUND . . . . .	1
FINDINGS . . . . .	3
STUDENTS WITHDRAWING FROM MCPS . . . . .	3
Who Are the Students Being Withdrawn From MCPS? What Are the Characteristics of Their Families? . . . . .	3
Where Were the Students Initially Enrolled? . . . . .	3
Why Did Parents Withdraw Their Child From MCPS? . . . . .	8
How Satisfied Were the Parents With the Public and Private Schools? . . . . .	14
STUDENTS ENTERING MCPS FROM PRIVATE SCHOOLS . . . . .	18
Who Are the Students Entering MCPS From Private Schools? What Are the Characteristics of Their Families? . . . . .	18
Where Were These Students Initially Enrolled? Why? . . . . .	18
Why Did the Parents Withdraw the Child From Private School? Which Type of Private School Did They Come From? . . . . .	22
Parent Satisfaction With Public and Private Schools . . . . .	24
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS . . . . .	28
APPENDICES	
Appendix A: Sample Selection . . . . .	A-1
Appendix A-1: Comparisons of Characteristics Between the Two Withdrawal Samples and Populations . . . . .	A-2
Appendix B: Survey Instruments . . . . .	B-1
Appendix C: Data Collection and Analysis . . . . .	C-1
Appendix D-1: Reasons for Initial Enrollment in Public School . . . . .	D-1
Appendix D-2: Reasons for Initial Enrollment in Private School . . . . .	D-2
Appendix E: Reasons for MCPS Withdrawal . . . . .	E-1
Appendix F: Appendix F: . . . . .	F-1
Appendix F-1: Correlation Between Minority Enrollment and Percentage of White Students Transferring to Private Schools . . . . .	F-2
Appendix F-2: Schools with the Highest and Lowest Percentages of White Students Transferring to Private School . . . . .	F-3
Appendix G-1: Attitudes of Parents Withdrawing Their Child From MCPS Regarding Public and Private Schools . . . . .	G-1
Appendix G-2: Attitudes of Parents Withdrawing Their Child From MCPS Regarding Public Schools . . . . .	G-2

Appendix G-3:	Attitudes Regarding Private Schools of Parents Withdrawing Their Child From MCPS . . . . .	G-3
Appendix H-1:	Reasons for Initial Enrollment in Public School . . . . .	H-1
Appendix H-2:	Reasons for Initial Enrollment in Private School . . . . .	H-2
Appendix I:	Reasons for Private School Withdrawal . . . . .	I-1
Appendix J-1:	Attitudes of Parents Withdrawing Their Child From Private School Regarding Public and Private Schools . . . . .	J-1
Appendix J-2:	Attitudes of Parents Withdrawing Their Child From Private School Regarding Public Schools . . . . .	J-2
Appendix J-3:	Attitudes of Parents Withdrawing Their Child From Private School Regarding Private Schools . . . . .	J-3

LIST OF TABLES

	<u>Page</u>
Table 1: Characteristics of Students Withdrawing From MCPS To Attend Private Schools. . . . .	4
Table 2: Characteristics of Parents Withdrawing Their Children From MCPS To Attend Private School. . . . .	5
Table 3: Reasons for Initially Enrolling in Public or Private Schools for Students Withdrawing From MCPS . . . . .	9
Table 4: Reasons for Withdrawal From MCPS By Type of Group. . . . .	12
Table 5: Attitudes of Parents Withdrawing Their Child From MCPS Regarding Public and Private Schools . . . . .	15
Table 6: Attitudes of Parents Withdrawing Their Child From MCPS Regarding Public Schools. . . . .	16
Table 7: Attitudes Regarding Private Schools of Parents Withdrawing Their Child From MCPS. . . . .	17
Table 8: Characteristics of Students Withdrawn From Private School To Attend MCPS. . . . .	19
Table 9: Characteristics of Parents Who Withdrew Their Child From Private School To Attend MCPS . . . . .	20
Table 10: General Reasons for Initially Enrolling in Public or Private School for Students Withdrawing From Private School . . . . .	21
Table 11: Reasons for Withdrawal From Private Schools By Type of Group . . . . .	23
Table 12: Attitudes of Parents Withdrawing Their Child From Private School Regarding Public and Private Schools. . . . .	25
Table 13: Attitudes of Parents Withdrawing Their Child From Private Schools Regarding Public Schools. . . . .	25
Table 14: Attitudes of Parents Withdrawing Their Child From Private Schools Regarding Private Schools . . . . .	27

LIST OF EXHIBITS.

		<u>Page</u>
Exhibit 1:	Reasons for Initial Enrollment in Public School. . .	6
Exhibit 2:	Reasons for Initial Enrollment in Private School . .	7
Exhibit 3:	Reasons for MCPS or Private School Withdrawal. . . .	10

### Acknowledgements

The work of Dr. James Morgan on designing and implementing the study and Dr. Susan Rothschild in data reduction and analysis are gratefully acknowledged. Thanks also to the support provided by Mrs. Fariamae Tate, Mr. Al Jenny, Dr. Susan Gross, Mrs. Patricia Chambers and Mrs. Sue Isle.

A SURVEY OF MONTGOMERY COUNTY PARENTS WHO WITHDREW THEIR  
CHILDREN FROM PUBLIC TO PRIVATE SCHOOLS IN 1980-81

BACKGROUND

In 1979, the MCPS Board of Education, requested that the Department of Educational Accountability investigate why parents were withdrawing their children from the public schools. In the course of this undertaking, the results of which are reported in Edward's 1979-80 A Survey of MCPS Withdrawals To Attend Private School,<sup>1</sup> it was found that not only did about 2 percent of the MCPS student population withdraw from MCPS each year but that a nearly corresponding percentage returned to MCPS.

The present study was initiated to follow up on these findings and gather further information on why parents chose to transfer their children into or out of MCPS. Its major purpose was to identify policies and practices which MCPS might modify to retain and attract more students to the public schools.

To accomplish this, telephone interviews were conducted with a sample of parents of children in the first through twelfth grades who had transferred their child during the 1980-81 school year.<sup>2</sup> A total of 277 parents of students leaving MCPS for private schools in Maryland and 281 parents of students entering from private schools in Maryland were interviewed. During that year, the total number of students withdrawn from MCPS to attend private schools in Maryland was 1,672, the total number entering MCPS from Maryland private schools was 1,205.

Each sample was further divided into three groups: those transferring to or from nonchurch schools, those transferring to or from church-related schools at the first grade (called Church 1), and those transferring to or from church-related schools in Grades 2 through 12 (called Church 2-12). The sample was subdivided this way because preliminary inspection of the data indicated that these three groups differed systematically in their responses in a number of key areas. Appendices A through C present additional details on the study methodology.

---

<sup>1</sup>S. Edwards, W. Richardson, and S. Frankel. A Survey of MCPS Withdrawals to Attend Private School. (Rockville, Md.: Montgomery County Public Schools, February, 1981).

<sup>2</sup>Kindergarteners were eliminated from the data base because too frequently they sign up for enrollment but never actually attend; children in Special Education Centers were also not considered.

In interpreting this research, it is important to keep in mind some possible limitations in making generalizations about the findings. First, this study, like that of Edwards (1981), included only parents of students transferring into and out of private schools in Maryland. Students who transferred into or out of private schools in Washington, D.C., or Virginia were not included. This raises the question of whether or not the study fails to represent the opinions of these parents.

This question was examined by looking at the names of the private schools attended by students in the study. It was found that the sample contained substantial numbers of parents who were sending their children to prestigious private schools in Maryland, such as Holton Arms and Landon, which are direct competitors of the Virginia and D.C. private schools. Given these findings, the researchers are of the opinion that this is not serious. The data from the parents sending their children to prestigious Maryland private schools should permit generalization to the D.C. and Virginia parents as well.

A more serious limitation is that the study did not include parents who initially enrolled their children in private schools and have kept their children there. Thus, we can say nothing about why some parents never consider enrolling their child in the public schools or what might be done to attract them. This is a more serious problem and one which should be remedial in future studies.

---

<sup>3</sup>This occurred because the codes used by MCPS to record transfers from and to private schools only recognize two types of transfers: within-state and out-of-state. Expanding the study to include the entire Washington metropolitan area would have required costly manual procedures to separate the Washington metropolitan area transfers from those including the 48 other states.

## FINDINGS

### STUDENTS WITHDRAWING FROM MCPS

#### Who Are the Students Being Withdrawn From MCPS? What Are the Characteristics of Their Families?

Overall, the characteristics of students and parents in the three withdrawal groups were similar (Tables 1 and 2). Students leaving MCPS for private school were most often white (reflecting the overall population distribution), equally divided among males and females, and enrolled in Grades 1 or 9. While some withdrew after limited experience with the public schools, many, especially in the group transferring to nonchurch-related private schools, had attended MCPS for six or more years.

The parents were well educated, long-time residents of Montgomery County. Over 60 percent of parents were college graduates, and approximately 60 percent had lived in Montgomery County for 10 or more years. Further, a substantial number of parents had other children remaining in the public schools, a little over a third of those in the Church 2-12 and Nonchurch groups. Those in the Church 1 group were less likely to have other children in MCPS.

#### Where Were the Students Initially Enrolled?

Parents were asked whether they had initially enrolled their child in public or private school and why they had done so. Our analysis of the data showed that nearly all (91%) of the students had initially been enrolled in public school at the start of their educational experience. Well over 50 reasons were offered for making the initial enrollment decision. Inspection of these indicated that they could be meaningfully grouped into eight general categories. These are the following:

- Religion
- Parental Values
- Discipline
- School Staff Characteristics
- Educational Program
- Child Personal/Social Needs
- Convenience
- Cost

Exhibits 1 and 2 display these categories and the reasons which compose them.



TABLE 1  
 Characteristics of Students Withdrawing From MCPS  
 To Attend Private Schools

	<u>Church 1</u> N=70	<u>Church 2-12</u> N=144	<u>Nonchurch</u> N=63
	<u>Percentage</u>		
Sex			
Male	50	58	59
Female	50	42	41
Race			
White	89	87	97 <sup>1</sup>
Nonwhite	11	13	3
Grades			
1	100	0	8
2	0	10	5
3	0	11	6
4	0	9	5
5	0	10	14
6	0	10	5
7	0	12	13
8	0	5	11
9	0	18	18
10	0	9	8
11	0	0	8
12	0	0	0
Length of School Attendance Before Withdrawal			
Less than 1 year	94	13	13
Between 1 and 2 years	6	11	13
Between 2 and 3 years	0	17	3
Between 3 and 4 years	0	12	18
Between 4 and 5 years	0	8	8
Between 5 and 6 years	0	10	5
More than 6 years	0	29	41
Type of Private School Entered			
Catholic	81	60	0
Non-Catholic	19	40	0
Non-church-related	0	0	100

The data suggest that white students may be overrepresented relative to their prevalence in the population in the group withdrawing to nonchurch-related schools. Analyses did not, however, reveal any overall statistically significant differences.

TABLE 2

Characteristics of Parents Withdrawing  
Their Children From MCPS To Attend Private School

	Church 1 N=70	Church 2-12 N=144	Nonchurch N=63
Number of Years Montgomery County Resident			
	Percentage		
Less than 1 year	3	0	0
Between 1 and 3 years	13	12	10
Between 4 and 9 years	24	26	32
Between 10 and 14 years	26	28	37
15 or more	34	35	22
Parent Education Level			
Elementary School	1	2	0
H.S. Incomplete	1	2	0
H.S. Graduate	16	13	7
Technical School	7	3	3
College Incomplete	20	24	19
College Graduate	27	28	34
Graduate Study	14	11	16
Advanced Degree	13	18	21
Number of Other Children in School			
Public			
0	81	54	52
1	16	32	35
2	3	10	13
3	0	1	0
4 or More	0	4	0
Private			
0	0	0	4
1	40	50	78
2	37	34	18
3	14	12	3
4 or More	8	5	0

EXHIBIT 1

Reasons for Initial Enrollment in Public School

Group 1: Religion

Group 2: Parental Values

Public school experiences were good.  
Parents were products of public school.  
Parents believed in public education.  
Parents always expected to send their child to public school.  
Parents felt there was a high quality education available in MCPS.  
Neutral: public schools are OK.  
Parents believed public schools broaden child's experience.  
Parents had a poor prior experience in private schools.  
Parents felt public schools provided greater lack of conformity.

Group 3: Discipline

Good discipline in public schools.

Group 4: School Staff

MCPS staff had a good reputation.  
Good equipment/supplies available.  
Teaching judged as good.  
Parents pleased with school or teacher choice.

Group 5: Program

Good sports or arts program available.  
Resources for handicapped available.  
Small class size available.  
Flexible program/individualization available.  
More caring atmosphere provided by MCPS.  
Parents wanted children to learn English/assimilate into US culture.  
Good curriculum available.  
Free lunch was provided.

Group 6: Child-Related

Child preferred to attend public school.

Group 7: Convenience

Parent taught in MCPS.  
Parents wanted to give public schools a chance.  
Friends go to neighborhood school.  
MCPS provided a good way to meet neighborhood children.  
Parents felt they were entitled to public school since they pay taxes.  
No good private school available nearby.  
Day care available at public school or baby-sitter nearby.  
Private school had no kindergarten.  
Earlier enrollment available in public school.

Group 8: Cost

Cost

EXHIBIT 2

Reasons for Initial Enrollment in Private School

Group 1: Religion

Parents wanted a religious background.

Group 2: Parental Value

Family tradition to go to a private school.

Better education available in private school.

Wanted academic emphasis, not social experience.

Reaction to poor public school experience of older child.

Parents wanted to stay in own school system/language.

Reaction against bussing.

Parents didn't like U.S. public schools.

Parents didn't like public schools in their own country.

Parents felt private schools provided greater lack of conformity.

Parents wanted child to learn English.

Parents felt private schools provided stronger emphasis on values.

High quality education available in private school.

Group 3: Discipline

Better discipline, stricter controls available.

Drug problems handled more effectively in private schools.

Safety of child greater in private school.

Group 4: School Staff

Reputation of private school was strong.

Relationship with school was better.

Dedicated/competent teachers available.

Parents didn't like particular public school/teacher choice.

Group 5: Program

More caring atmosphere in private school.

Better care for handicapped provided.

Smaller class size available.

Montessori education desired.

Individualization available.

Emphasis on basics and or old-fashioned education desired.

Good curriculum/program available.

Preschool program available.

Structured program atmosphere desired.

Group 6: Child Related

Group 7: Convenience

Parent taught in private school, therefore, no tuition charged.

No permanent residence and no time to investigate public schools.

Location or convenience, bus transportation provided.

Friends went to private school.

Full-day kindergarten or longer of school day available.

Private school chosen as a continuation preschool or earlier enrollment in private school.

Private kindergarten program preferred.

Group 8: Cost

Many of the reasons most frequently offered for choosing the public schools were similar for all groups. Table 3 shows the distribution of responses by the eight categories described above. Appendix D-1 presents greater detail on the specific reasons mentioned. Convenience was given top priority, especially the desirability of neighborhood schools and the fact that no private school kindergarten was available. This latter factor was especially important for the Church 1 group. School and staff were also important with the schools' reputation being mentioned frequently, especially by the Nonchurch group. Parental values also played a role with a substantial number of responses indicating that parents believed in public education and no other option had been considered.

The data on the small number of parents who initially enrolled children in private school (9%) is also of interest but must be interpreted with caution because of the small sample size. Table 3 shows Religion and Values were extremely important for the Church 2-12 group. The nonchurch group in contrast stressed convenience. Examination of the individual responses indicates that this group frequently chose private school because of the need for day care or the desire to have an all-day kindergarten program. (See Appendix D-2 for a more detailed presentation of the findings.)

#### Why Did Parents Withdraw Their Child From MCPS?

The parents surveyed gave many different reasons for deciding to withdraw their child from MCPS. As with the reasons for initial enrollment, it was possible to organize them into eight different categories:

- Religion
- Parental Values
- Discipline
- School/Staff Characteristics
- Educational Program
- Child Personal/Social Needs
- Convenience
- Cost

Exhibit 3 illustrates this categorization scheme.

Reasons for withdrawal differed among the three groups of parents, indicating some significant and systematic differences in their reasons for transferring to private schools. Table 4 presents the responses of each of these three groups by category. (Appendix E presents the detailed findings.)

#### Church 1

As might be expected, those transferring at the first grade level to church-related schools did so primarily because of the desire to obtain a religious education for their children. Fifty four percent of the responses of this group fell into the category of religion. It is interesting to note that the vast majority, 81% of these parents, transferred their child to a Catholic school. Second in priority (49%) were concerns related to the educational program offered by the public

TABLE 3

Reasons for Initially Enrolling in Public or Private  
Schools for Students Withdrawing From MCPS

Type of Initial Enrollment	Reasons	Church 1	Church 2-12	Nonchurch
<b>Public School</b> N=253				
		Percentage		
	Religion	1 <sup>1</sup>	0	0
	Parental Values	17	54	44**
	Discipline	0	0	0
	School Staff/ Interpersonal	19	34	44**
	Program	4	5	11
	Child-related	0	0	2
	Convenience	89	67	61**
	Cost	11	21	26
<b>Private School<sup>2</sup></b> N=24				
	Religion	--	40	0
	Parental Values	--	40	11
	Discipline	--	33	0
	School Staff/ Interpersonal	--	13	22
	Program	--	20	11
	Convenience	--	27	67*

<sup>1</sup>Percentages are based on multiple responses and may be more than 100 percent.

<sup>2</sup>Extreme caution should be taken in interpreting these data because of the extremely small size of the sample of students initially enrolling in private school.

\*P < .05  
\*\*P < .01

## EXHIBIT 3

### Reasons for MCPS or Private School Withdrawal

#### 1 Religion

Religion in general.

- Wanted religious background for certain time period.
- Church desired or required private school enrollment.
- Wanted religious education for discipline/environment.
- Wanted religious education for values/ethics/morals.
- Wanted religious education for academic reasons.

#### 2 Parental Values

- Concern with sex education.
- Concern with integration, bussing, racial problems/tension.
- Tradition in family to attend private/public school.
- Wanted more social and psychological aspects to be considered.
- Wanted higher moral and ethical standards.
- Wanted to instill self-discipline, self-worth; character-building.
- Reputation; good reports.
- Never intended otherwise.
- Public is as good as private.

#### 3 Discipline

- Discipline problems in general.
- Discipline problems related to open classroom/combo classes.
- Inadequate supervision provided by school system and/or staff.
- Problems in discipline related to specific incidents.
- Discipline related to behavior of child(ren).
- Drug-related concerns.
- Safety.

#### 4 School/Staff Interpersonal

- General dissatisfaction with school and staff.
- General dissatisfaction with school administration and policies.
- Dissatisfaction with specific school child will attend/attends.
- General dissatisfaction with teachers.
- Dissatisfaction with specific teacher(s).
- Teachers not qualified; poor teaching.
- Teachers poor attitude.
- Teachers nonprofessional behavior and actions.
- Poor relations/communication with school staff.
- Inadequate school environment; poor facilities (school overcrowded--school size; no lunch program or physical education); materials; supplies.
- Dissatisfaction with school administration and policies.
- Instability of school system--teachers involuntary transferred, too many subs, school closing.
- Liked/preferred particular school (system) or staff.

## 5 Program

Non-American families desire to attend own language/culture school.  
Better educational quality in public/private school.  
Preferred environment of private/public school.  
Wanted more academics, higher academic standards.  
Generally poor/low quality/unsatisfactory curriculum/program.  
Lack of college preparatory or enriched curriculum in private school.  
Lack of homework emphasis; no follow-up; lack of study skills/habits.  
Lack of structure; dislike of open schools or open classrooms--(withdrawal from public); too structured--withdrawn from private.  
Need for tutoring/extra help for special problems.  
Improper placement into classes/groups; misdiagnosis of problems.  
Better extracurricular programs desired.  
Better math program available.  
More individualization or personal attention desired.  
Pupil teacher ratio; class size more favorable.  
School standards slipping.  
Combination classes judged not desirable.  
Too demanding or difficult a curriculum; child too pressured.

## 6 Child-Related

Poor attitude; self-concept, etc.  
Child unhappy or depressed.  
Child showing troublesome behavior or emotional problems.  
Poor personal relations or adjustment problems--personality conflict with teacher, difficulty getting along in general with teacher(s), with other student(s).  
Child not living up to potential; underachieving.  
Academic needs not being met.  
Academic or personal needs were met (no longer need day care, child is older, etc.); so no longer have need for school (system); ready for public schools.  
Child preferred to transfer.  
Teacher/psychologist recommended the change.  
No choice--suspension, expulsion, failure.

## 7 Convenience

Convenience in general.  
Wanted all children in same setting with the same vacations.  
Hours preferred.  
School had a good location, close to home.  
Preferred a neighborhood school.  
Transportation available.  
Child desired to be with friends.  
Family moved.  
A good time to switch.

## 8 Cost

Cost



TABLE 4

Reasons for Withdrawal From MCPS  
By Type of Group

	<u>Church 1</u> N=70	<u>Church 2-12</u> N=144	<u>Nonchurch</u> N=63
	Percentage		
Religion	54	25	3*
Parental Values	27	17	14
Discipline	34	47	24*
School Staff/Interest	29	49	56*
Educational Program	49	68	92*
Child-related	14	38	60*
Convenience	14	14	6
Cost	0	0	0

\*P &lt; .01

## Church 2-12

Parents who withdrew their children to church-related schools in the later grades differed from those withdrawing earlier in the degree of emphasis placed on educational concerns. Sixty-eight percent of the responses of this group related to dissatisfaction with the educational program, primarily individualization and class size. This group was also concerned, however, with school/community relations (49%), discipline (47%), and meeting children's emotional and academic needs (38%). It is perhaps somewhat surprising that religion ranked fifth out of eight reasons for this group, with only 25 percent of their responses falling into this category.

## Nonchurch

Parents who withdrew their child to nonchurch-related schools overwhelmingly expressed concerns with the overall MCPS educational program (92%), and specifically cited class size and individualization as important. Also mentioned with considerable frequency were problems related to meeting children's academic and emotional needs (60%) and school/community relations (56%). Discipline ranked fourth, with 24 percent of the responses of this group of parents falling into this category.

It is clear from this pattern of responses that a continuum of concerns exists, from the religious to the academic, with quite differential emphasis being placed on each by the separate groups of parents. The Church 1 group clearly differs from the other two in its concern for a religious education; and, given the reasons for enrolling in MCPS, its probable intention from the beginning was to enroll their children in private schools once they became available. In this sense, this group may be very much like those who never enroll in MCPS.

At the other extreme are those who transfer their children to nonchurch-related schools. These parents do so primarily because they feel the public schools educational program is lacking. In addition, they have many complaints about how school or staff have treated them as parents.

The Church 2-12 group falls neatly between the extremes represented by the Nonchurch and Church 1 groups, sharing some of the discipline-related concerns of the latter, but in more ways resembling the former in its concern for educational programs and children's needs. These are parents who eventually choose church-related schools but for what appear to be very different reasons from those transferring to church-related schools at the first grade level.

Interestingly, none of the groups mentioned bussing or desegregation activities as a major reason for selecting to leave MCPS. Additional analysis comparing enrollment and withdrawal patterns (see Appendix F) generally confirm this finding. There appears to be no strong relationship between percentage minority enrollment in a school and the percentage of white students selecting to transfer to private schools.

### How Satisfied Were the Parents With the Public and Private Schools?

Parents who transferred their children to private schools were far more satisfied with the private schools and their services than with the public schools. On 40 out of the 42 items examined, the private school was rated as more satisfactory than the public school (Table 5).

On only one item, distance between the school and home, were public schools given a higher rating than private schools: 94 percent of the respondents were satisfied with the distance with public school was from the home, while 62 percent were satisfied with the private school distance from home.

However, the three groups were far from homogeneous in their evaluations of specific features of the public and private schools. Tables 6 and 7 present the findings for items on which significant differences were found. (Detailed data are presented in Appendix F.) Differences were especially pronounced with regard to attitudes toward the public schools, where significant differences were found for 31 of the 42 items. These differences, generally, were consistent with differences in withdrawal reasons discussed earlier. For example, those attending nonchurch-related schools were far less satisfied with student achievement than those attending church-related schools. They also found more fault with school staff in terms of warmth and sensitivity. Those attending church-related schools were less satisfied with the religious aspects of education. Generally, as with the withdrawal responses, the attitudes of the Church 2-12 group fell between those of the Church 1 and Nonchurch groups.

---

<sup>4</sup> A follow-up of parents of students who had been included in Edward's study of students who transferred to private school during the 1979-80 school year showed that generally they remained highly satisfied with the services provided by the private schools a year or more after transferring.

TABLE 5

Attitudes of Parents Withdrawing Their Child From  
MCPS Regarding Public and Private Schools  
N=277<sup>1</sup>

Satisfaction Items	Percentage	
	Public	Private
Student Achievement	51.1	97.5*
Student School Satisfaction	53.1	97.5*
Acceptance of Other Students	78.0	94.2*
Disruptive Classroom Behavior	45.0	96.4*
Disruptive School Behavior	46.9	95.3*
Abusive Language	43.0	89.2*
Crime and Vandalism	54.9	88.4*
Drug Abuse	44.0	70.8*
Intimidation and Victimization	56.3	88.1*
Unexcused Absences	61.0	81.2*
Individualization	41.9	96.0*
Staff Academic Qualifications	75.1	93.5*
Staff Enthusiasm	65.1	97.5*
Staff Warmth and Sensitivity	64.4	97.8*
Teacher Turnover and Substitutes	70.4	84.1*
Student-Teacher Ratio	47.3	93.1*
Student Promotion Policy	40.8	68.2*
Staff Challenge of Students To Do Best	40.1	96.8*
Amount of Homework	40.3	95.3*
Follow-up on Assigned Work	47.5	96.8*
Opportunity To Repeat Grades in Different Settings <sup>2</sup>	31.0	38.3*
Appropriate Books and Materials	76.2	96.8*
Teaching Below Average, Handicapped Student	40.8	40.4*
Teaching Average Students	60.1	90.6*
Teaching Above Average Gifted Students	49.5	72.9*
Curriculum Structure	59.9	90.6*
Elementary Level Basic Skills	52.9	83.5*
Secondary Level Academic Skills <sup>2</sup>	24.1	50.0*
Work-Study Skills	37.8	95.0*
College Preparatory Courses <sup>2</sup>	16.5	36.3*
Values	40.1	94.2*
Moral and Ethical Standards	45.8	95.7*
Religious Education	30.9	91.0*
Human Growth and Development	65.3	88.1*
Attention to Parental Concerns	58.1	97.8*
Contacting Parents About Student Problems	57.6	95.0*
Attitude and Cooperation	67.5	98.6*
Aesthetic Appearance of Facility and Grounds	88.1	95.3*
School Maintenance and Cleanliness	86.7	96.4*
Number of School Days	86.6	95.3*
School Distance from Home	94.2	61.7*

<sup>1</sup>This is the sample size for the total sample transferring from MCPS to private schools. For each item, however, sample sizes differed, depending on the number of missing responses for each item.

<sup>2</sup>Many respondents had no opinion in these areas.

\*p < .01

TABLE 6

Attitudes of Parents Withdrawing Their Child From MCPS  
Regarding Public Schools

Satisfactor Items	Percentage Satisfied or Very Satisfied		
	Church 1 N=56	Church 2-12 N=120	Nonchurch N=52
Student Achievement	74	47	35**
Student School Satisfaction	71	48	43**
Acceptance of Other Students	91	72	76**
Disruptive Classroom Behavior	64	38	38**
Disruptive School Behavior	59	40	49*
Crime and Vandalism	60	55	48*
Drug Abuse	39	45	48**
Intimidation and Victimization	56	58	52**
Individualization	61	41	22**
Staff Academic Qualifications	81	77	65*
Staff Enthusiasm	83	64	48**
Staff Warmth and Sensitivity	83	63	46**
Teacher Turnover and Substitutes	79	68	67**
Student-Teacher Ratio	63	52	19**
Student Promotion Policy	36	44	40**
Staff Challenge of Students To Do Best	53	41	22**
Amount of Homework	39	44	32**
Follow-up on Assigned Work	51	52	32*
Opportunity To Repeat Grades in Different Settings <sup>2</sup>	27	39	18*
Teaching Below Average, Handicapped Student	44	43	32**
Teaching Average Students	70	62	46**
Teaching Above Average Gifted Students	51	50	46*
Curriculum Structure	54	63	59*
Secondary Level Academic Skills <sup>2</sup>	13	26	33*
Work-Study Skills	40	39	31**
College Preparatory Courses <sup>2</sup>	4	21	21**
Values	47	39	35**
Religious Education	27	28	43**
Human Growth and Development	67	68	57*
Attention to Parental Concerns	70	59	41**
Contacting Parents About Student Problems	71	58	40**
Attitude and Cooperation	73	72	52**

<sup>1</sup>This is the sample sizes for the total sample transferring from MCPS to private schools. For each item, however, sample sizes differed, depending on number of missing responses for each item.

<sup>2</sup>Many respondents had no opinion in these areas.

\* P < .05

\*\*P < .01

TABLE 7

Attitudes Regarding Private Schools<sup>1</sup> of Parents  
 Withdrawing Their Child From MCPS

Satisfaction Items	Percentage Satisfied or Ver. Satisfied		
	Church 1	Church 2-12	Nonchurch
Disruptive Classroom Behavior	96	99	91*
Unexcused Absences	70	87	81*
Amount of Homework	90	97	97*
Teaching Average Students	96	92	81*
Elementary Level Basic Skills	97	79	79**
Secondary Level Academic Skills <sup>2</sup>	26	55	65**
Work-Study Skills	90	97	95*
College Preparatory Courses <sup>2</sup>	16	38	54**
Values	97	98	83**
Moral and Ethical Standards	100	97	89**
Religious Education	100	97	67**

<sup>1</sup> Sample Sizes differed, depending on number of missing responses for each item.

<sup>2</sup> Many respondents had no opinion in these areas.

\*  $P < .05$

\*\*  $P < .01$

## STUDENTS ENTERING MCPS FROM PRIVATE SCHOOLS

### Who Are the Students Entering MCPS From Private Schools? What Are the Characteristics of Their Families?

Overall, the students entering MCPS from private school were very similar to those withdrawing in terms of the characteristics examined in this study. The students were generally white and equally divided among males and females. The most popular grades for entrance were grades one, four, and nine. A disproportionately large number of those transferring from church-related schools did so at the ninth grade. This may reflect the fact that many such schools end at the ninth grade level. A little over 50 percent of those entering MCPS had attended private school for less than three years. However, a quarter of those withdrawing from church-related schools did so after attending for six years or more. This probably reflects those students transferring at the ninth grade level.

Nearly half (49%) of the parents were college graduates, with many of those transferring from nonchurch-related schools having advanced degrees. The majority (61%) had lived in Montgomery County for ten or more years. These parents tended to have other children in the public schools, with a small minority also having children remaining in private school. Tables 8 and 9 present further details regarding student and parent characteristics.

### Where Were These Students Initially Enrolled? Why?

The students who withdrew from private school were almost evenly divided between those who had begun their education in Montgomery County in private and public schools (54% vs. 46%). Those who had initially enrolled in public school had done so for reasons similar to those presented earlier--convenience and especially the desirability of neighborhood schools and unavailability of kindergarten in the private school. Parental values and cost also were cited.

Reasons for initially enrolling in private school showed more group-to-group differences. Religious training was mentioned by the Church 1 and Church 2-12 groups 27 and 60 percent of the time, respectively. These groups also rated parental values highly. Finally, convenience, especially the availability of a full day kindergarten, was mentioned frequently by the Nonchurch and the Church 1 groups. Table 10 presents the reasons by category. (Further details are presented in Appendix H.)

TABLE 8

Characteristics of Students Withdrawn From Private School  
To Attend MCPS

	<u>Church 1</u> N=16	<u>Church 2-12</u> N=100	<u>Nonchurch</u> N=165
	<u>Percentage</u>		
Sex			
Male	44	48	59
Female	36	52	41
Race			
White	86	82	83
Nonwhite	14	18	17
Grades			
1	100	0	29
2	0	11	6
3	0	9	11
4	0	10	16
5	0	10	5
6	0	7	1
7	0	9	3
8	0	7	3
9	0	20	9
10	0	9	6
11	0	7	7
12	0	2	4
Length of School Attendance Before Withdrawal			
Less than 1 year	25	15	16
Between 1 and 2 years	44	16	23
Between 2 and 3 years	19	16	25
Between 3 and 4 years	0	13	17
Between 4 and 5 years	0	7	5
Between 5 and 6 years	0	9	4
More than 6 years	0	24	10
Type of Private School Attended			
Catholic	44	67	0
Non-Catholic	36	33	0
Non-church-related	0	0	100



TABLE 9

Characteristics of Parents Who Withdrew Their Child  
From Private School To Attend MCPS

	<u>Church 1</u> N=16	<u>Church 2-12</u> N=100	<u>Nonchurch</u> N=165
<b>Number of Years Montgomery County Resident</b>			
	<u>Percentage</u>		
Less than 1 year	6	6	4
Between 1 and 3 years	13	10	14
Between 4 and 9 years	38	17	30
Between 10 and 14 years	12	26	31
15 or more	31	41	21
<b>Parental Education Level</b>			
Elementary School	0	1	0
H.S. Incomplete	0	5	1
H.S. Graduate	25	22	9
Technical School	0	5	2
College Incomplete	25	27	21
College Graduate	43	21	25
Graduate Study	0	7	15
Advanced Degree	6	13	26
<b>Number of Other Children in School</b>			
<b>Public</b>			
0	0	1	0
1	56	39	49
2	19	39	42
3	19	13	9
4 or More	6	7	0
<b>Private</b>			
0	88	71	83
1	6	19	12
2	0	7	5
3	0	2	0
4 or More	6	1	0

TABLE 10  
 General Reasons for Initially Enrolling in  
 Public or Private School for  
 Students Withdrawing From Private School

Type of Initial Enrollment School	Reasons	Church 1	Church 2-12	Nonchurch
		Percentage		
Public N=128	Religion	0 <sup>1</sup>	0	0
	Parental Values	100	32	50
	Discipline	0	0	3
	School/Staff			
	Interpersonal	0	17	33
	Program	0	4	8
	Child-related	0	1	0
	Convenience	100	66	42*
Cost	100	26	19	
Private N=153	Religion	27	60	2**
	Parental Values	47	49	36
	Discipline	13	20	0**
	School/Staff			
	Interpersonal	7	10	3
	Program	33	15	34**
	Convenience	53	22	61**

<sup>1</sup> Percentages are based on multiple responses and may be more than 100 percent.

\* p < .05

\*\*p < .01

Why Did the Parents Withdraw the Child From Private School? Which Type of Private School Did They Come From?

As was noted with the groups withdrawing from public school, the three groups of parents withdrawing their children from private school also gave somewhat different reasons for making their decisions. Convenience was, however, important to all. Cost<sup>5</sup> was also consistently mentioned. Table 11 presents their responses. (Appendix I presents more detailed data on the responses.)

Church 1

Those withdrawing from church-related schools at the first grade level generally did so because of convenience or cost. Fifty-six percent of their responses fell into each of these categories. Specific aspects of the convenience category mentioned included the attractiveness of a neighborhood school, the desire to attend school with neighborhood friends, and the fact that their children would no longer require bus transportation.

Church 2

Those transferring from church-related schools in Grades 2 through 12 also rated convenience highly (47%). However, almost equal stress was placed on reasons associated with the educational program (44%) and meeting children's academic and emotional needs (42%). Thirty-nine percent of the responses were related to cost.

Nonchurch

Those withdrawing their children from nonchurch-related schools mentioned convenience in 60 percent of their responses. Like the church group, they were attracted by the neighborhood school concept. In addition, however, many simply said it was "an appropriate time." This group also cited problems with the private school's educational program--54 percent of their responses fell in this category. Finally, cost was directly mentioned in 37 percent of the responses of this group, which was somewhat less than was the case with those leaving church-related schools.

---

<sup>5</sup>A follow-up of parents of students who had been included in Edward's study showed that a small proportion of students had transferred back into MCPS by the end of the 1980-81 school year. The major reason for this decision was cost.

TABLE 11

Reasons for Withdrawal From Private Schools  
By Type of Group

	<u>Church 1</u> N=16	<u>Church 2-12</u> N=165	<u>Nonchurch</u> N=100
	<u>Percentage</u>		
Religion	6	7	1
Parental Values	6	8	8
Discipline	0	3	4
School Staff/Interest	25	21	26
Educational Program	25	42	54*
Child-related	13	44	35*
Convenience	56	47	60
Cost	56	39	37

\*P &lt; .05

## Parent Satisfaction With Public and Private Schools

In contrast to parents of students withdrawing from MCPS, parents who transferred their child to MCPS from private schools in Maryland were generally quite satisfied with the services offered by both the public and private institutions (Table 12). (Appendix J presents more detailed findings.) And, despite the fact that they had chosen to withdraw their child from private school, they rated the private school somewhat more satisfactorily than the public school in nearly half the 42 areas. On nine of the 42 items, the public schools received significantly higher ratings. The greatest differences in satisfaction favoring private schools were found in the areas of:

- o Disruptive school behavior
- o Abusive language
- o Crime and vandalism
- o Drug abuse
- o Intimidization and victimization
- o Student teacher ratio
- o Values
- o Moral and ethical standards
- o Religious education

Differences in satisfaction favoring the public schools were also found in several areas. These were:

- o Student-school satisfaction
- o Teaching the below average handicapped student
- o Attitude and cooperation
- o School distance from home

Differences between the three groups in satisfaction also were found (Tables 13 and 14). These did not, however, add up to any overall pattern.

TABLE 12

Attitudes of Parents Withdrawing Their Child From  
Private School Regarding Public and Private Schools<sup>1</sup>  
N=281

Satisfaction Items	Percentage Satisfied or Very Satisfied	
	Public	Private
Student School Satisfaction	88.7	77.7**
Disruptive Classroom Behavior	69.1	85.5**
Disruptive School Behavior	68.1	86.2**
Abusive Language	55.7	81.6**
Crime and Vandalism	62.4	86.2**
Drug Abuse	60.3	78.4**
Intimidation and Victimization	71.6	85.5**
Unexcused Absences	81.4	88.9**
Staff Academic Qualifications	77.3	81.9**
Staff Enthusiasm	86.2	84.0**
Staff Warmth and Sensitivity	85.5	81.6**
Teacher Turnover and Substitutes	64.4	77.9**
Student-Teacher Ratio	66.0	87.2**
Student Promotion Policy	67.9	78.9**
Amount of Homework	69.6	72.1**
Opportunity to Repeat Grades in Different Settings	51.3	46.2**
Teaching Below Average, Handicapped Student <sup>2</sup>	64.5	35.8**
Teaching Above Average Gifted Students	75.4	74.1*
Elementary Level Basic Skills	75.1	82.9*
Work-Study Skills	68.2	82.9**
Values	67.9	88.3**
Moral and Ethical Standards	67.1	92.9**
Religious Education	41.8	78.4**
Attention to Parental Concerns	82.6	77.2**
Contacting Parents About Student Problems	76.5	79.8*
Attitude and Cooperation	88.6	80.9**
School Distance from Home	98.6	64.5**
School Schedule Convenience	92.5	81.9**

<sup>1</sup> Sample Sizes differed, depending on number of missing responses for each item.

<sup>2</sup> Many respondents had no opinion in these areas.

\*  $p < .05$

\*\* $p < .01$

TABLE 13

Attitudes of Parents Withdrawing Their Child From  
Private Schools Regarding Public Schools  
N=281<sup>1</sup>

Satisfaction Items	Percentage Satisfied or Very Satisfied		
	Church 1	Church 2-12	Nonchurch
Disruptive Classroom Behavior	81	61	80**
Disruptive School Behavior	88	60	79**
Abusive Language	69	44	73**
Student-Teacher Ratio	88	71	56**
Amount of Homework	56	70	70*
Follow-up on Assigned Work	63	72	82*
Teaching Below Average, Handicapped Student <sup>2</sup>	88	66	59*
Secondary Level Academic Skills	19	54	27**
College Preparatory Courses <sup>2</sup>	13	50	25**
Values	72	64	72**
Moral and Ethical Standards	86	60	75**
Religious Education	31	34	56**
Attention to Parental Concerns	81	82	84*
Attitude and Cooperation	69	87	93**

<sup>1</sup>This is the total sample size. Sample sizes differed, depending on number of missing responses for each item.

<sup>2</sup>Many respondents had no opinion in these areas.

\* P < .05

\*\*P < .01

TABLE 14

Attitudes of Parents Withdrawing Their Child From  
Private Schools Regarding Private Schools  
N=281<sup>1</sup>

Satisfaction Items	Percentage Satisfied or Very Satisfied		
	Church 1	Church 2-12	Nonchurch
Individualization	94	68	82**
Staff Warmth and Sensitivity	87	77	87**
Student Promotion Policy <sup>2</sup>	94	77	79**
Staff Challenge of Students to Do Best	94	74	88**
Amount of Homework	81	74	67**
Follow-up on Assigned Work	88	80	80**
Appropriate Books and Materials	100	82	87**
Teaching Below Average, Handicapped Student <sup>2</sup>	63	29	42**
Curriculum Structure	88	81	80*
Secondary Level Academic Skills <sup>2</sup>	19	49	31**
College Preparatory Courses <sup>2</sup>	25	46	26**
Moral and Ethical Standards	94	95	89**
Religious Education	81	92	57**
Attention to Parental Concerns	94	71	86**
Contacting Parents About Student Problems	94	75	86*

<sup>1</sup>Sample sizes differed, depending on number of missing responses for each item.

<sup>2</sup>Many respondents had no opinion in this area.

\*  $P < .05$

\*\* $P < .01$



## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This study shows that parents withdraw their children from the Montgomery County Public Schools for a variety of reasons and that different groups are seeking different things when they make the decision to transfer their child to private school. Further, in contrast to the Edwards (1981) study, the present analysis suggests that religion is a critical factor for only a small group of parents (approximately 25%) and that factors potentially under the control of the school system are the cause of dissatisfaction for the vast majority.

Of the three groups, only those who withdrew to church-related schools at the first grade level appear to place a great deal of emphasis on religion in reaching their decision. They seem generally to have made the decision to transfer to private schools even before entering MCPS and only use MCPS because of the lack of availability of kindergarten or first grades in some private schools. In addition, there is some evidence from the demographic data that this group is more "private school oriented." That is, they tend more than the other two groups to have other children in private school and are less likely to have the children remaining in public school.

The other two groups, those who transferred their children to church-related schools in Grades 2 through 12 and those who transferred their children to nonchurch-related schools, generally do so because of dissatisfaction with the way the school conducts its business of educating children. The data suggest loud and clear that these parents wanted a more individualized environment, smaller classes, and programs that they feel will meet more effectively their children's academic needs. These parents also found fault with their interpersonal relationships with school administrators and teachers, expressing dissatisfaction with staff sensitivity and level of communication. Interestingly, concerns with bussing and desegregation, drug abuse, crime, and vandalism were minimal. The desire for increased discipline and structure in the schools also emerged as important, especially for those parents who withdrew their students to church-related schools at the second to twelfth grade levels.

In contrast, those who transfer from private to public schools are not really dissatisfied with the private school program. While some do express concern with the educational program being delivered, convenience and cost are the major reasons for leaving the private school. The data show that the idea of "neighborhood schools" retains a very large drawing power, both in terms of where parents choose to enroll their child initially and why they may ultimately decide to withdraw their child from a private school.

These findings provoke some interesting questions regarding current MCPS policies and practices. For example, the county government must soon be making some important policy decisions concerning ways of reusing the 30 plus public schools about to be closed over the next three years. At present no definite policy exists regarding priorities to be used in the recycling of such buildings, and a system for weighing the merits of alternative users is not in place. It can be predicted, however, that a demand for such buildings will come from the private school sector, seeking improved or better located facilities. The findings of this study suggest that the leasing of public school buildings to private schools may not be to the advantage of the public school system. Depending upon the particular circumstances of the neighborhood, the availability of more "neighborhood private schools" could have a very negative impact on the public school system and increase problems associated with declining enrollments. This concern must however be balanced off against the obvious desirability of the private school to the neighborhood and the increased revenues that would accrue to the county as a whole if private schools were encouraged to lease closed buildings.

How to handle the dissatisfied attitude of the small percentage of parents who withdrew their children from MCPS poses other problems and must itself be kept in perspective. Many parents are satisfied with MCPS, and the level of satisfaction is high compared to national data. The MCPS 1981 countywide telephone survey conducted in the Spring of 1981 revealed that 50 percent of the countywide sample and 65 percent of MCPS parents gave the school grades of A or B<sup>6</sup>, while only 36% of all 1981 Gallup Poll respondents and 46% of the polled parents graded public school A or B.

More importantly, the functions of the two school systems are meant to be different.

Private schools educate only those children whose family elect to send them to the schools and whom the schools accept. Public schools, on the other hand, do not control the composition of their student bodies by excluding students; they cannot, for example, dismiss children whose behavior disrupts the education of others or who cannot meet some pre-established (sic) standard of academic aptitude. Public schools meet public needs and carry out public policy. The genius of U.S. public education is in its diversity... Nowhere in the world is access to educational opportunity broader than in the United States. Our system of free public education is a cornerstone of our democratic society.

---

<sup>6</sup>"How the Community Sees Its Schools," MCPS Learning, October, 1981, p.2.

<sup>7</sup>G. Gallup, "The 13th Annual Gallup Poll," Phi Delta Kappan, 33-47, 63 (1), (1981).

<sup>8</sup>J. Sparling, "Tuition Tax Credits," Today's Education, (November-December, 1981), p.16.

Nonetheless, if MCPS is interested in attempting to retain or attract the parents who seek private schools, this study does suggest some areas which might be explored. While there is little that can be done to satisfy the needs of those who seek a religious education, it may be possible to meet the needs of those who leave because of dissatisfaction with the educational program. The data show that many of the parents who withdrew their child from public school did so because they felt their children's academic needs were not being met. They were especially concerned about class size and the individual attention that their child was able to receive. Further they seemed to be seeking a more personalized atmosphere in which their needs as parents, as well those of their children, were individually addressed.

MCPS may wish to explore possible alternative ways of reducing class size to the levels which so many parents find attractive, and even to the levels where substantial academic benefits have been found. This is usually considered to be about 15:1 and in fact, researchers have almost universally found that decreases in class size that do not reduce class size to at least 20:1 will have no academic benefits. We might also want to consider other means of creating a more personalized atmosphere in our schools.

While some will say that we have been down both of these routes many times before, and that the truly effective solutions are either financially or politically impossible, we don't think that this is necessarily the case. After all, the private schools which are attracting our students are probably operating under financial constraints at least as tight as our own, and they are hiring staff from the same labor markets.

Also, while only a small percentage of the MCPS population actually transfer to private schools because of concerns regarding class size and individualization, it is likely that many of those who stay with, or never enter, the public schools share some of the dissatisfaction. This also makes it worth reexamining MCPS practices to see whether or not there are ways in which a more personalized, individualized environment could be provided.

One means of doing this would be to examine closely what the more successful local private schools are doing. The initial goal would be to try to determine the degree to which they are truly providing individualized instruction and small class sizes, and the degree to which they are benefiting from an image which is not reflected in the reality of their actual instructional environments. Then, if it is found that they are more effective in these respects than are our own schools, the next goal would be to determine how they are doing it and the whether their strategies are adoptable to MCPS.

We strongly suggest that this be undertaken as a follow-on activity to this report. Just as Giant sends comparison shoppers into Safeway to see how they are pricing and displaying their goods, it is about time that we started taking the private schools of the Country more seriously and realizing that we may have something to learn from them.

## APPENDIX A

### SAMPLE SELECTION

Using the MCPS pupil data base, two samples were selected for telephone interviews. The two working samples are part of a small and specifically defined targeted population which is only about 2 percent of Montgomery County Public School's students: those Montgomery County residents who in 1980-1981 transferred their first through twelfth grade child who was not in an MCPS special education center from MCPS to a Maryland private school or vice versa. Although the samples were not randomly selected, on key factors (sex, race, and grade level), they are representative of these groups and include 278 students who withdrew from MCPS and 285 who withdrew from Maryland private schools--17 percent and 24 percent of the two groups, respectively (Table A-1).

---

While some bias may have been introduced into the sample since all children from the same family who withdrew were both members of the targeted population and possible sample, there were few of these cases since most parents only responded to the telephone interview for one child.

TABLE A-1

Comparisons of Characteristics Between the Two  
Withdrawal Samples and Populations

Characteristics	MCPS Withdrawals		Private School Withdrawals	
	Sample (n=278)	Population (N=1672)	Sample (n=285)	Population (N=1205)
<b>Sex</b>				
Male	55.1	56.0	51.6	52.0
Female	44.9	44.0	48.4	48.0
<b>Race</b>				
American Indian	0.4	0.2	0.0	0.0
Asian	1.4	3.5	2.8	3.9
Black	5.1	7.4	9.5	12.0
White	89.5	84.3	82.5	79.3
Hispanic	3.6	4.6	5.3	4.7
<b>Grade</b>				
1	27.5	22.4	16.1	18.8
2	6.9	6.7	8.4	8.9
3	7.2	7.1	9.1	6.1
4	4.7	6.8	11.2	7.9
5	8.3	8.0	7.4	7.3
6	6.2	7.3	4.2	6.0
7	9.1	12.0	6.3	8.2
8	5.1	6.2	4.9	5.1
9	13.4	11.1	14.7	12.3
10	6.5	6.5	8.1	9.2
11	4.3	4.5	6.7	7.2
12	0.7	1.6	2.8	2.9

<sup>a</sup> Percentages may not total to 100 due to rounding error.

## APPENDIX B

### SURVEY INSTRUMENTS

The survey instruments for the two groups were essentially identical. Both addressed reasons that parents withdrew their child from public or private school, and characteristics and attitudes of the parents, as well as reasons that the parents, as Montgomery County residents, initially enrolled their child in public or private school. The questions were similar to those used in the earlier 1979-80 Edward's study, although the attitudinal items in the present survey were greatly expanded.

Instructions for Interviewers - Form 1  
Public to Private

Card Col.

INTERVIEW SCRIPT

Hello, this is \_\_\_\_\_  
I'm working with the Montgomery County Public School System's  
Department of Educational Accountability. May I speak with the  
parents or guardian of \_\_\_\_\_?

(INQUIRE AS TO WHETHER THE PERSON INTERVIEWED IS THE  
1: MOTHER, 2: FATHER, 3: GUARDIAN OF THE CHILD.)

(IF THE PERSON REACHED INDICATES THAT HE OR SHE IS NOT  
THE PERSON TO INTERVIEW, SAY) Do you have a number  
where the parents of this child may be reached?  
(IF YES, WRITE THE NUMBER: \_\_\_\_\_)

The school system is surveying parents who withdrew their  
children from public school to place them in a private school.  
Your participation is voluntary. Your answers will be kept  
confidential and be recorded with no association with  
you or your child.

We would like you to participate in the study because our  
records show that you recently withdrew your child from a  
Montgomery County public school. Is that correct?  
(1: YES, 2: NO)

(IF YES, CONTINUE)

(IF NO, SAY:) I'm sorry. It was my understanding that  
\_\_\_\_\_ had been withdrawn  
from \_\_\_\_\_ school to attend a private  
school. Thank you for the information. Goodbye.

MCRS 028-0624 A1, 11/80

Survey Questionnaire - Form 1

1. When you first enrolled this child in a school as a Montgomery County resident, was that school public or private?

Public (Go to 2) . . . . . 1  
Private (Go to 7). . . . . 2

I:

2. Prior to your recent withdrawal, was your child enrolled in a MCPS school continuously since your initial residence in Montgomery County?

Yes (Go to 3). . . . . 1  
No . . . . . 2

I:

IF NO, SAY:

You are not one of the parents or guardians that we wish to interview. Thank you very much for your time. Goodbye.

3. Please think for a moment about your reasons for enrolling your child initially in a Montgomery County public school rather than a private school. Then state the 3 most important reasons in the order of their importance, naming the most important one first.

- a. Most Important \_\_\_\_\_
- b. Second \_\_\_\_\_
- c. Third \_\_\_\_\_

4. You have enrolled your child in a private school. Were there particular policies or actions of the Board of Education which influenced your decision to withdraw your child from Montgomery County Public Schools?

Yes . . . . . 1  
No . . . . . 2

I:

IF NO, GO TO 5

IF YES, Please tell me which policies or actions.

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_





5. Please think for a moment about your reasons for withdrawing your child from MCPS. Then state the 3 most important reasons in the order of their importance, naming the most important one first.

- a. Most Important \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_
- b. Second \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_
- c. Third \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

6. You said that \_\_\_\_\_ was the most important reason for withdrawing your child from MCPS. Please give some public school illustrations of this problem.

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

What could have been done by MCPS school staff to avoid this problem?

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

(GO TO 11)

7. Please think for a moment about the reasons you enrolled your child initially in a private school rather than MCPS. Then state the 3 most important reasons in the order of their importance, naming the most important one first.

- a. Most Important \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_
- b. Second \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_
- c. Third \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

8. You have now re-enrolled your child in a private school. Were there particular policies or actions of the Board of Education which influenced your decision to withdraw your child from Montgomery County Public Schools?

Yes. . . . . 1  
No . . . . . 2



I:

IF YES, Please tell me which policies or actions.

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

IF NO, GO TO 9

9. Please think for a moment about your reasons for withdrawing your child from the MCPS. Then state the 3 most important reasons in the order of their importance, naming the most important one first.

a. Most important \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

b. Second \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

c. Third \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

10. You said that \_\_\_\_\_ was the most important reason for withdrawing your child from MCPS. Please give me some public school illustrations of this problem.

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

What could have been done by MCPS school staff to avoid this problem?

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

I will read a list of topics which have to do with school in general. I would like you to consider your satisfaction with each topic when your child was in the most recent public school he/she attended. To indicate your satisfaction with each topic I would like you to use the following Satisfaction Scale. (READ THE SCALE.)

SATISFACTION SCALE  
(READ SCALE)

1 = Very Satisfied  
 2 = Satisfied  
 3 = Not Satisfied  
 4 = Very Unsatisfied  
 9 = No opinion/Don't know/  
 Not applicable/No Answer

Now, I will read the list and you are to use the scale to rate your satisfaction with the public school.

(READ THE LIST OF TOPICS. HAVE THE INTERVIEWEE RESPOND FOR THE PUBLIC SCHOOL. RECORD THE APPROPRIATE NUMBERS FOR THE SCALE ON THE BLANKS BESIDE EACH TOPIC. YOU MAY RE-READ THE SCALE WHEN NEEDED BY PARENT.)

Now, I will read the list again and ask you to use the same scale to rate your satisfaction with the private school your child is now attending. (READ THE LIST AGAIN AND RECORD THE SCALE NUMBERS.)

The topics are organized into these categories for your convenience: students, classroom instruction, curriculum, and school services. I will now read the topics under each category.

	<u>PUBLIC</u>	<u>PRIVATE</u>	<u>Card</u>	<u>Col.</u>
A. Students				
Amount or level of student:				
11. Achievement . . . . .	_____	_____	I:	_____
12. Satisfaction with school . . . . .	_____	_____	I:	
13. Acceptance of other students . . . . .	_____	_____	I:	
14. Disruptive Behavior in the classroom . . . . .	_____	_____	I:	
15. Disruptive behavior in the school. . . . .	_____	_____	I:	
16. Abusive language . . . . .	_____	_____	I:	
17. Crime and vandalism. . . . .	_____	_____	I:	



	<u>PUBLIC</u>	<u>PRIVATE</u>	<u>Card Col.</u>
18. Drug abuse . . . . .	_____	_____	I:
19. Intimidation and victimization of other students . . . . .	_____	_____	I:
20. Unexcused absences . . . . .	_____	_____	I:
<b>B. Classroom Instruction</b>			
Extent or level of:			
21. Individualization to meet student needs. . . . .	_____	_____	I:
22. Staff academic qualifications. . . . .	_____	_____	I:
23. Staff enthusiasm . . . . .	_____	_____	I:
24. Staff warmth and sensitivity to students . . . . .	_____	_____	I:
25. Teacher turn-over and use of substitutes . . . . .	_____	_____	I:
26. Teacher-student ratio. . . . .	_____	_____	I:
27. Student promotion policy . . . . .	_____	_____	I:
28. Challenging students to do their best. . . . .	_____	_____	I:
29. Amount of homework . . . . .	_____	_____	I:
30. Follow-up on assigned work . . . . .	_____	_____	I:
31. Opportunities to repeat grades in different settings . . . . .	_____	_____	I:
32. Appropriate books and materials. . . . .	_____	_____	I:
33. Teaching of below average or handicapped students. . . . .	_____	_____	I:
34. Teaching of average students . . . . .	_____	_____	I:
35. Teaching of above average or gifted students . . . . .	_____	_____	I:
<b>C. Curriculum</b>			
Amount of emphasis on:			
36. Curriculum structure . . . . .	_____	_____	I:
37. Elementary level basic skills. . . . .	_____	_____	I:
38. Secondary level academic skills. . . . .	_____	_____	I:

	<u>PUBLIC</u>	<u>PRIVATE</u>	<u>Card Col.</u>
39. Work-study skills. . . . .	_____	_____	I:
40. College preparatory courses. . . . .	_____	_____	I:
41. Values . . . . .	_____	_____	I:
42. Moral and ethical standards. . . . .	_____	_____	I:
43. Religious education. . . . .	_____	_____	I:
44. Human growth and development . . . . .	_____	_____	I:

D. School Services

45. Attention to parent concerns . . . . .	_____	_____	I:
46. Contacting parents about student problems. . . . .	_____	_____	I:
47. Attitude and cooperation . . . . .	_____	_____	I:
48. Appearance of facility and grounds . . . . .	_____	_____	I:
49. School maintenance and cleanliness . . . . .	_____	_____	I:
50. Number of days school is open. . . . .	_____	_____	I:
51. School distance from home. . . . .	_____	_____	I:
52. Convenience of school schedule to family schedule. . . . .	_____	_____	I:

REPEAT 11 THROUGH 52 FOR PRIVATE SCHOOLS

53. a. Before withdrawing your child from MCPS, did you attempt to transfer him/her to another Montgomery County public school?			
Yes, GO TO (b) . . . . .	1		
No, GO TO (d) . . . . .	2	<input type="checkbox"/>	I:
b. Was the request granted?			
Yes, GO TO (d) . . . . .	1		
No, GO TO (c) . . . . .	2	<input type="checkbox"/>	I:
c. If the request had been granted, would you have withdrawn your child?			
Yes GO TO (d) . . . . .	1		
No GO TO 60. . . . .	2	<input type="checkbox"/>	I:



d. If transportation to another MCPS school was provided by MCPS at no cost to you, would you have withdrawn your child?

Yes . . . . . 1  
 No . . . . . 2

I:

e. If transportation to another MCPS school was provided by MCPS at your expense, would you have withdrawn your child?

Yes . . . . . 1  
 No . . . . . 2

I:

54. a. How long did your child attend Montgomery County public schools?

One year or less . . . . . 1  
 One+ years to 2 years . . . . . 2  
 2+ to 3 years . . . . . 3  
 3+ to 4 years . . . . . 4  
 4+ to 5 years . . . . . 5  
 5+ to 6 years . . . . . 6  
 More than 6 years . . . . . 7

I:

55. Which private school does he/she attend?

WRITE NAME OF SCHOOL \_\_\_\_\_ CODE SCHOOL \_\_\_\_\_

I:

56. a. What kind of private school is he/she attending now? Is it a (READING THE FOLLOWING ALTERNATIVES)

Catholic school . . . . . 1  
 Non-catholic, church related school . . . . . 2  
 Private school, not church related . . . . . 3  
 Don't know/no answer . . . . . 9

57. a. How many school aged (Grades K-12) children do you have, other than \_\_\_\_\_ (IF NONE, GO TO #58.)

I:

b. Including \_\_\_\_\_, how many of your children are in public schools and how many are in private schools? (RECORD THE NUMBERS.)

PUBLIC SCHOOL

I:

PRIVATE SCHOOL

I:



58. How many years have you lived in Montgomery County?

Less than one year . . . . .	1
1 - 3 years . . . . .	2
4 - 9 years . . . . .	3
10 - 14 years . . . . .	4
15 or more years . . . . .	5
Don't know/no answer . . . . .	9



I:

59. What was the highest grade (or year) of school you completed?

Elementary school (K-8) . . . . .	1
High school incomplete . . . . .	2
High school graduate . . . . .	3
Technical, trade, or business school . . . . .	4
College incomplete . . . . .	5
College graduate . . . . .	6
Graduate study . . . . .	7
Advanced degree . . . . .	8
Don't know/no answer . . . . .	9



I:

(CLOSURE)

That was the last question in the interview. Thank you very much for taking the time to answer these questions for us.

Goodbye.

1388A

Instructions for Interviewers - Form 2  
Private to Public

INTERVIEW SCRIPT

Card Col.

Hello, this is \_\_\_\_\_  
I'm working with the Montgomery County Public School System's  
Department of Educational Accountability. May I speak with the  
parent or guardian of \_\_\_\_\_?

(INQUIRE AS TO WHETHER THE PERSON INTERVIEWED IS THE  
1: MOTHER, 2: FATHER, 3: GUARDIAN OF THE CHILD.)

I: \_\_\_\_\_

(IF THE PERSON REACHED INDICATES THAT HE OR SHE IS NOT  
THE PERSON TO INTERVIEW, SAY) Do you have a number  
where the parents of this child may be reached?  
(IF YES, WRITE THE NUMBER: \_\_\_\_\_)

The school system is surveying parents who withdrew their  
children from private school to place them in a Montgomery County  
Public School. Your participation is voluntary. Your answers will  
be kept confidential and be recorded with no association  
with you or your child.

We would like you to participate in the study because our  
records show that you recently enrolled your child in a  
Montgomery County public school from a private school.  
Is that correct?

(1: YES, 2: NO)

I: \_\_\_\_\_

(IF NO, SAY:) I'm sorry. It was my understanding  
that \_\_\_\_\_ had been  
withdrawn from a private school and enrolled in \_\_\_\_\_  
school. Thank you for the information.  
Goodbye.

(IF YES, CONTINUE)

MCPS 028-0644 A2, 11/18/0



Survey Questionnaire - Form 2

1. When you first enrolled this child in a school as a Montgomery County resident, was that school public or private?

- Public (Go to 7) . . . . . 1
- Private (Go to 2) . . . . . 2

I:     

2. Prior to your recent withdrawal, was your child enrolled in a private school continuously since your initial residence in Montgomery County?

- Yes (Go to 3) . . . . . 1
- No . . . . . 2

I:     

IF NO, SAY:

You are not one of the parents or guardians that we wish to interview. Thank you very much for your time. Goodbye.

3. Please think for a moment about your reasons for enrolling your child initially in a private school rather than a Montgomery County public school. Then state the 3 most important reasons in the order of their importance, naming the most important one first.

- a. Most important \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_
- b. Second \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_
- c. Third \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_



4. You have enrolled your child in a public school. Were there particular policies or actions by the Board of Education which influenced your decision to enroll your child in Montgomery County Public Schools?

Yes. . . . . 1  
No . . . . . 2



I: \_\_\_\_\_

IF NO, GO TO 5

IF YES, Please tell me which policies or actions.

---

---

---

5. Please think for a moment about your reasons for now withdrawing your child from the private school. Then state the 3 most important reasons in the order of their importance, naming the most important one first.

a. Most important \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

b. Second \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

c. Third \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

6. You said that \_\_\_\_\_ was the most important reason for withdrawing your child from private school. Please give me some private school illustrations of this problem.

---

---

---

What could have been done by private school staff to avoid this problem?

---

---

---

(GO TO 11)



7. Please think for a moment about the reasons you enrolled your child initially in MCPS rather than a private school. Then state the 3 most important reasons in the order of their importance, naming the most important one first.

- a. Most important \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_
- b. Second \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_
- c. Third \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_

8. You have now re-enrolled your child in MCPS. Were there particular policies or actions by the Board of Education which influenced your decision to return your child to Montgomery County Public Schools?

Yes . . . . . 1  
 No . . . . . 2



I: \_\_\_\_\_

IF YES, Please tell me which policies or actions.

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

IF NO, GO TO 9

9. Please think for a moment about your reasons for withdrawing your child from the private school. Then state the 3 most important reasons in the order of their importance, naming the most important one first.

- a. Most important \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_
- b. Second \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_
- c. Third \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_



10. You said that \_\_\_\_\_ was the most important reason for withdrawing your child from private school. Please give me some private school illustrations of this problem.

---

---

---

What could have been done by private school staff to avoid this problem?

---

---

---

I will read a list of topics which have to do with school in general. I would like you to consider your satisfaction with each topic when your child was in the most recent private school he/she attended. To indicate your satisfaction with each topic I would like you to use the following Satisfaction Scale. (READ THE SCALE.)

SATISFACTION SCALE  
(READ SCALE)

- 1 = Very Satisfied
- 2 = Satisfied
- 3 = Not Satisfied
- 4 = Very Unsatisfied
- 9 = No opinion/Don't know/  
Not applicable/No Answer

Now, I will read the list and you are to use the scale to rate your satisfaction with the PRIVATE SCHOOL.

(READ THE LIST OF TOPICS. HAVE THE INTERVIEWEE RESPOND FOR THE PRIVATE SCHOOL. RECORD THE APPROPRIATE NUMBERS FOR THE SCALE ON THE BLANKS BESIDE EACH TOPIC. YOU MAY RE-READ THE SCALE WHEN NEEDED BY PARENT.)

Now, I will read the list again and ask you to use the same scale to rate your satisfaction with the public school your child is now attending. (READ THE LIST AGAIN AND RECORD THE SCALE NUMBERS.)

The topics are organized into these categories for your convenience: students, classroom instruction, curriculum, and school services. I will now read the topics under each category.

	<u>PRIVATE</u>	<u>PUBLIC</u>	<u>Card Col.</u>
<b>A. Students</b>			
Amount or level of student:			
11. Achievement . . . . .	_____	_____	I: _____
12. Satisfaction with school . . . . .	_____	_____	I: _____
13. Acceptance of other students . . . . .	_____	_____	I: _____
14. Disruptive behavior in the classroom . . . . .	_____	_____	I: _____
15. Disruptive behavior in the school. . . . .	_____	_____	I: _____
16. Abusive language . . . . .	_____	_____	I: _____
17. Crime and vandalism. . . . .	_____	_____	I: _____
18. Drug abuse . . . . .	_____	_____	I: _____
19. Intimidation and victimization of other students . . . . .	_____	_____	I: _____
20. Unexcused absences . . . . .	_____	_____	I: _____
<b>B. Classroom Instruction</b>			
Extent or level of:			
21. Individualization to meet student needs. . . . .	_____	_____	I: _____
22. Staff academic qualifications. . . . .	_____	_____	I: _____
23. Staff enthusiasm . . . . .	_____	_____	I: _____
24. Staff warmth and sensitivity to students . . . . .	_____	_____	I: _____
25. Teacher turn-over and use of substitutes . . . . .	_____	_____	I: _____
26. Teacher-student ratio. . . . .	_____	_____	I: _____
27. Student promotion policy . . . . .	_____	_____	I: _____
28. Challenging students to do their best. . . . .	_____	_____	I: _____
29. Amount of homework . . . . .	_____	_____	I: _____
30. Follow-up on assigned work . . . . .	_____	_____	I: _____
31. Opportunities to repeat grades in different settings . . . . .	_____	_____	I: _____
32. Appropriate books and materials. . . . .	_____	_____	I: _____



	<u>PRIVATE</u>	<u>PUBLIC</u>	<u>Card Col.</u>
33. Teaching of below average or handicapped students . . . . .	_____	_____	I:
34. Teaching of average students . . . . .	_____	_____	I:
35. Teaching of above average or gifted students . . . . .	_____	_____	I:
<b>C. Curriculum</b>			
Amount of emphasis on:			
36. Curriculum structure . . . . .	_____	_____	I:
37. Elementary level basic skills. . . . .	_____	_____	I:
38. Secondary level academic skills. . . . .	_____	_____	I:
39. Work-study skills. . . . .	_____	_____	I:
40. College preparatory courses. . . . .	_____	_____	I:
41. Values . . . . .	_____	_____	I:
42. Moral and ethical standards. . . . .	_____	_____	I:
43. Religious education. . . . .	_____	_____	I:
44. Human growth and development . . . . .	_____	_____	I:
<b>D. School Services</b>			
45. Attention to parent concerns . . . . .	_____	_____	I:
46. Contacting parents about student problems. . . . .	_____	_____	I:
47. Attitude and cooperation . . . . .	_____	_____	I:
48. Appearance of facility and grounds . . . . .	_____	_____	I:
49. School maintenance and cleanliness . . . . .	_____	_____	I:
50. Number of days school is open. . . . .	_____	_____	I:
51. School distance from home. . . . .	_____	_____	I:
52. Convenience of school schedule to family schedule. . . . .	_____	_____	I:

REPEAT 11 THROUGH 52 FOR PUBLIC SCHOOLS

53. a. How long did your child attend private schools?

- One year or less . . . . 1
- One+ years to 2 years. 2
- 2+ to 3 years. . . . . 3
- 3+ to 4 years . . . . 4
- 4+ to 5 years . . . . 5
- 5+ to 6 years . . . . 6
- More than 6 years . 7

I:

54. a. Which private school did he/she last attend?

WRITE NAME OF SCHOOL \_\_\_\_\_ CODE SCHOOL \_\_\_\_\_

I:

55. What kind of private school did he/she withdraw from? Is it a (READING THE FOLLOWING ALTERNATIVES)

- Catholic school. . . . . 1
- Non-catholic, church related school. . . . . 2
- Private school, not church related . . . . . 3
- Don't know/no answer . . . . . 9

I:

56. a. How many school aged (Grades K-12) children do you have, other than \_\_\_\_\_ (IF NONE, GO TO #57.)

I:

b. Including \_\_\_\_\_, how many of your children are in public schools and how many are in private schools? (RECORD THE NUMBERS.)

PUBLIC SCHOOL

I:

PRIVATE SCHOOL

I:

57. How many years have you lived in Montgomery County?

- Less than one year . . . . . 1
- 1 - 3 years. . . . . 2
- 4 - 9 years. . . . . 3
- 10 - 14 years. . . . . 4
- 15 or more years . . . . . 5
- Don't know/no answer . . . . . 9

I:

58. What was the highest grade (or year) of school you completed?

Elementary school (K-8) . . . . .	1
High school incomplete . . . . .	2
High school graduate . . . . .	3
Technical, trade, or business school . . . . .	4
College incomplete . . . . .	5
College graduate . . . . .	6
Graduate study . . . . .	7
Advanced degree . . . . .	8
Don't know/no answer . . . . .	9



I:

(CLOSURE)

That was the last question in the interview. Thank you very much for taking the time to answer these questions for us.

Goodbye.

2998A



## APPENDIX C

### DATA COLLECTION

The data were collected by telephone interviews with, whenever possible, a parent of the student. Of the public to private respondents, 76 percent were the mothers and 23 percent were the fathers; 76 percent of the private to public respondents were mothers, and 21 percent were fathers. The interviews, conducted under the auspices of the MCPS Department of Educational Accountability from November, 1980, through May, 1981, took, in some cases, as long as one hour to complete. The parents were, in general, extremely cooperative in their participation efforts.

### DATA ANALYSIS

After the data were collected, open-ended withdrawal and initial enrollment items were coded and categorized. Analyses of a descriptive nature were performed using Statistical Programs for the Social Sciences (SPSS)

In almost all cases, percentages based on the frequency of respondent were calculated and reported. It should be noted (withdrawal and initial enrollment) questions with multiple responses per percentage of respondents (cases) or percentage of responses be utilized. Using both sets of statistics, the end results were essentially the same; thus, consistent with the method utilized for single response questions, percentages of respondents are reported for multiple response items, too.

APPENDIX D-1°

Reasons for Initial Enrollment in Public School<sup>1</sup>

By Percentage

	<u>Church 1</u>	<u>Church 2-12</u>	<u>Nonchurch</u>
<u>Group 1 Religion</u>			
<u>Group 2: Parental Values</u>			
Public school experiences were good.	4	14	7
Parents believed in public education.	0	9	11*
Parents always expected to send their child to public school.	11	18	15
Parents felt there was a high quality education available in MCPS.	3	8	7
<u>Group 3: Discipline</u>			
<u>Group 4: School Staff</u>			
MCPS staff had good reputation.	7	30	35**
Parents pleased with school or teacher choice.	10	4	7
<u>Group 5: Program</u>			
<u>Group 6: Child-related</u>			
<u>Group 7: Convenience</u>			
Friends go to neighborhood school.	43	50	57
No good private school nearby.	1	9	2*
Private school has no kindergarten.	54	3	4**
<u>Group 8 Cost</u>			
Cost.	11	21	26

<sup>1</sup>Includes only reasons mentioned in 5 percent or more of the responses.

\* p < .05  
 \*\*P < .01

APPENDIX D-2

Reasons for Initial Enrollment in Private School<sup>1</sup>

By Percentage

	<u>Church 7-12</u>	<u>Nonchurch</u>
<u>Group 1: Religion</u>		
Parents wanted religious background.	40	0
<u>Group 2: Parental Value</u>		
Better education available in private school.	6	11
Reaction to poor public school experience of older child.	13	0
High quality education available in private school.	20	11
<u>Group 3: Discipline</u>		
Better discipline/stricter controls available.	33	0
<u>Group 4: School Staff</u>		
Relationship with school was better.	13	0
<u>Group 5: Program</u>		
Better care for handicapped.	33	11
Smaller class size available.	7	11
Montessori education desired.	20	11
<u>Group 6: Child-related</u>		
<u>Group 7: Convenience</u>		
Location or convenience; bus transportation provided.	13	22
Full day kindergarten or longer school day available.	13	33
Desire to continue preschool or earlier enrollment in private school.	7	57*
<u>Group 8 Cost</u>		
Cost.		

<sup>1</sup> Includes only reasons mentioned in 5 percent or more of the responses.

\* p < .05

APPENDIX E  
Reasons for MCPS Withdrawal<sup>1</sup>

	By Percentage		
	<u>Church 1</u>	<u>Church 2-12</u>	<u>Nonchurch</u>
<u>Group 1</u>			
Religion in general.	43	17	3
<u>Group 2: Parental Values</u>			
Tradition in family to attend private/public school.	13	3	8
Wanted higher moral and ethical standards.	11	6	2
<u>Group 3: Discipline</u>			
Discipline in general.	29	29	18
Inadequate supervision provided by school system and/or staff.	3	11	5
<u>Group 4: School/Staff Interpersonal</u>			
Teachers poor attitude.	1	13	16**
Teachers nonprofessional behavior and actions.	1	6	10
Poor relations/communication with school staff.	10	19	21
School administration & policies.	11	9	5
<u>Group 5: Program</u>			
Better educational quality in public/private school.	13	6	8
Wanted more academics, higher academic standards.	11	6	3
Lack of college preparatory or curriculum.	3	10	16*
Lack of structure.	14	18	5*
Need for tutoring/extra help for special problems.	1	4	21**
Improper placement into classes groups; misdiagnosis of problems.	1	5	14**
More individualization, personal attention desired.	13	24	41**
Pupil teacher ratio; class size more favorable.	7	22	43**
<u>Group 6: Child-related</u>			
Child not living up to potential; underachieving.	4	13	22**
Child's academic needs not being met.	6	10	29**
Child's preference.	1	9	2*
<u>Group 7: Convenience</u>			
<u>Group 8: Cost</u>			



## APPENDIX F

To further explore the finding that parents failed to mention desegregation activities or bussing as a major reason for transferring, a correlation was run between minority percentage in each school and the percentage of white students transferring. The analyses generally supported the inference from the parental responses that the racial makeup of the student body was not a critical factor. Appendix F-1 shows that only at the elementary level is there a statistically significant relationship between percentage minority enrollment and percentage of white students transferring. Further these correlations are generally low (although statistically significant) indicating that race by itself does not explain the observed findings to any great extent. The data displayed in Appendix F-2 confirm this. Among the schools with the highest percentages of white students transferring to private schools are schools with both high and low minority enrollments.

APPENDIX F-1

Correlation Between Minority Enrollment and Percentage of  
White Students Transferring to Private Schools

	All Minorities	Asians	Black	Hispanic
Elementary	.29**	.06	.23**	.32**
Junior	.07	.37*	-.02	.16
Senior	-.26	-.05	-.32	-.03

\* P < .05  
\*\*P < .01

70

APPENDIX F-2

Schools with the Highest and Lowest Percentages of White Students Transferring to Private School

Schools with the highest percentage of white students transferring to private schools			Schools with the lowest percentage of white students transferring to private schools		
<u>School</u>	<u>% Minority</u>	<u>% of Whites Transferring</u>	<u>School</u>	<u>% Minority</u>	<u>% of Whites Transferring</u>
A	24.2	7.33	H	53.1	0.00
B	76.4	11.11	I	32.0	0.46
C	17.2	7.20	J	11.0	0.17
D	37.2	7.51	K	15.8	0.56
E	15.0	9.30	L	1.9	0.27
F	17.6	7.83	M	15.0	0.73
G	58.9	8.14			



Attitudes of Parents Withdrawing Their Child From  
MCPS Regarding Public and Private Schools  
N=277<sup>1</sup>

Satisfaction Items	Public to Private Sample	
	Public	Private
	PERCENTAGE	
Student Achievement	51.1	97.5*
Student School Satisfaction	53.1	97.5*
Acceptance of Other Students	78.0	94.2*
Disruptive Classroom Behavior	45.0	96.4*
Disruptive School Behavior	46.9	95.3*
Abusive Language	43.0	89.2*
Crime and Vandalism	54.9	88.4*
Drug Abuse	44.0	70.8*
Intimidation and Victimization	56.3	88.1*
Unexcused Absences	61.0	81.2*
Individualization	41.9	96.0*
Staff Academic Qualifications	75.1	93.5*
Staff Enthusiasm	65.1	97.5*
Staff Warmth and Sensitivity	64.4	97.8*
Teacher Turnover and Substitutes	70.4	84.1*
Student-Teacher Ratio	47.3	93.1*
Student Promotion Policy	40.8	68.2*
Staff Challenge of Students To Do Best	40.1	96.8*
Amount of Homework	40.3	95.3*
Follow-up on Assigned Work	47.5	96.8*
Opportunity To Repeat Grades in Different Settings	31.0	38.3*
Appropriate Books and Materials	76.2	96.8*
Teaching Below Average, Handicapped Student	40.8	40.4*
Teaching Average Students	60.1	90.6*
Teaching Above Average Gifted Students	49.5	72.9*
Curriculum Structure	59.9	90.6*
Elementary Level Basic Skills	52.9	83.5*
Secondary Level Academic Skills	24.1	50.0*
Work-Study Skills	37.8	95.0*
College Preparatory Courses	16.5	36.3*
Values	40.1	94.2*
Moral and Ethical Standards	45.8	95.7*
Religious Education	30.9	91.0*
Human Growth and Development	65.3	88.1*
Attention to Parental Concerns	58.1	97.8*
Contacting Parents About Student Problems	57.6	95.0*
Attitude and Cooperation	67.5	98.6*
Aesthetic Appearance of Facility and Grounds	88.1	95.3*
School Maintenance and Cleanliness	86.7	96.4*
Number of School Days	86.6	95.3**
School Distance from Home	94.2	61.7**
School Schedule Convenience	92.1	92.1

<sup>1</sup>This is the sample size for the total sample transferring from MCPS to private schools. For each item, however, sample sizes differed, depending on the number of missing responses for each item.  
\*Many respondents had no opinion in these areas.

Attitudes of Parents Withdrawing Their Child From MCPS  
Regarding Public Schools

Satisfaction Items	Percentage Satisfied or Very Satisfied		
	Church 1 N=56	Church 2-12 N=120	Nonchurch N=52
Student Achievement	74	47	35**
Student School Satisfaction	71	48	43**
Acceptance of Other Students	91	72	76**
Disruptive Classroom Behavior	64	38	38**
Disruptive School Behavior	59	40	49*
Abusive Language	54	40	37
Crime and Vandalism	60	55	48**
Drug Abuse	39	45	48**
Intimidation and Victimization	56	58	52**
Unexcused Absences	47	67	62
Individualization	61	41	22**
Staff Academic Qualifications	81	77	65*
Staff Enthusiasm	83	64	48**
Staff Warmth and Sensitivity	83	63	46**
Teacher Turnover and Substitutes	79	68	67**
Student-Teacher Ratio	63	52	19**
Student Promotion Policy	36	44	40**
Staff Challenge of Students To Do Best	53	41	22**
Amount of Homework	39	44	32**
Follow-up on Assigned Work	51	52	32*
Opportunity To Repeat Grades in Different Settings	27	39	18*
Appropriate Books and Materials	77	76	73
Teaching Below Average, Handicapped Student	44	43	32**
Teaching Average Students	70	62	46**
Teaching Above Average Gifted Students	51	50	46*
Curriculum Structure	54	63	59*
Elementary Level Basic Skills	53	52	54
Secondary Level Academic Skills	13	26	33*
Work-Study Skills	40	39	31**
College Preparatory Courses	4	21	21**
Values	47	39	35**
Moral and Ethical Standards	53	41	49
Religious Education	27	28	43**
Human Growth and Development	67	68	57*
Attention to Parental Concerns	70	59	41**
Contacting Parents About Student Problems	71	58	40**
Attitude and Cooperation	73	72	52**
Aesthetic Appearance of Facility & Grounds	90	90	81
School Maintenance and Cleanliness	86	89	83
Number of School Days	89	88	81
School Distance from Home	94	92	98
School Schedule Convenience	94	94	91

This is the sample sizes for the total sample transferring from MCPS to private schools. For each item, however, sample sizes differed, depending on number of missing responses for each item.

\*Many respondents had no opinion in these areas.

Attitudes Regarding Private Schools<sup>1</sup> of Parents  
With Leaving Their Child From MCPS

Satisfaction Items	Percentage Satisfied or Very Satisfied		
	Church 1	Church 2-12	Nonchurch
Student Achievement	97	97	98
Student School Satisfaction	97	97	98
Acceptance of Other Students	98	94	91
Disruptive Classroom Behavior	96	99	91*
Disruptive School Behavior	93	98	92
Abusive Language	91	91	81
Crime and Vandalism	83	92	86
Drug Abuse	60	73	78
Intimidation and Victimization	84	90	87
Unexcused Absences	70	87	81*
Individualization	93	96	100
Staff Academic Qualifications	97	92	94
Staff Enthusiasm	99	96	98
Staff Warmth and Sensitivity	96	98	100
Teacher Turn-over and Substitutes	87	85	79
Student-Teacher Ratio	83	95	100
Student Promotion Policy	63	73	65
Staff Challenge of Students To Do Best	93	97	100
Amount of Homework	90	97	97*
Follow-up on Assigned Work	94	97	98
Opportunity To Repeat Grades in Different Settings <sup>2</sup>	36	41	35
Appropriate Books and Materials	99	95	98
Teaching Below Average, Handicapped Student	40	43	35
Teaching Average Students	96	92	81*
Teaching Above Average Gifted Students	67	71	83
Curriculum Structure	87	92	92
Elementary Level Basic Skills	97	79	79**
Secondary Level Academic Skills	26	55	65**
Work-Study Skills	90	97	95*
College Preparatory Courses	16	38	54**
Values	97	98	83**
Moral and Ethical Standards	100	97	89**
Religious Education	100	97	67**
Human Growth and Development	87	88	87
Attention to Parental Concerns	99	98	97
Contacting Parents About Student Problems	97	94	95
Attitude and Cooperation	100	97	100
Aesthetic Appearance of Facility & Grounds	91	97	97
School Maintenance and Cleanliness	93	97	98
Number of School Days	91	96	98
School Distance from Home	63	61	62
School Schedule Convenience	91	94	89

<sup>1</sup> Sample Sizes differed, depending on number of missing responses for each item.

<sup>2</sup> Many respondents had no opinion in these areas.

\*  $P < .05$   
\*\*  $P < .01$

APPENDIX H-1

Reasons for Initial Enrollment in Public School<sup>1</sup>

By Percentage

	<u>Church 2-12</u>	<u>Nonchurch</u>
<u>Group 1: Religion</u>		
<u>Group 2: Parental Values</u>		
Public school experiences were good.	6	11
Parents always expected to send to public school.	7	19
Parents felt there was a high quality education available in MCPS.	14	8
<u>Group 3: Discipline</u>		
<u>Group 4: School Staff</u>		
MCPS staff had good reputation.	9	31**
<u>Group 5: Program</u>		
<u>Group 6: Child-related</u>		
<u>Group 7: Convenience</u>		
Friends went to the neighborhood school.	39	36
Private school had no kindergarten.	26	8
<u>Group 8: Cost</u>		
Cost	26	19°

<sup>1</sup>Includes only those responses mentioned 5 percent or more.

\*\*p < .01

APPENDIX H-2

Reasons for Initial Enrollment in Private School<sup>1</sup>

By Percentage

	<u>Church 1</u>	<u>Church 2-12</u>	<u>Nonchurch</u>
<u>Group 1: Religion</u>			
Parents wanted religious background.	27	60	2**
<u>Group 2: Parental Value</u>			
Family tradition to go to a private school.	0	10	2
Better education available in private school.	7	11	6
Parents wanted to stay in own school system/language.	0	5	6
High quality education available in private school.	40	24	14
<u>Group 3: Discipline</u>			
Better discipline, stricter controls available.	13	20	0**
<u>Group 4: School Staff</u>			
<u>Group 5: Program</u>			
More caring atmosphere.	7	4	11
Montessori education desired.	7	0	11*
Preschool program available.	7	0	13**
Smaller class size available.	0	12	19
Individualization available.	13	11	19
<u>Group 6: Child-related</u>			
<u>Group 7: Convenience</u>			
Location or convenience; bus transportation provided.	13	7	14
Private kindergarten program preferred.	13	4	5
Full day kindergarten or longer school day available.	27	3	41**
Desire to continue preschool or earlier enrollment in private school.	13	7	14
<u>Group 8: Cost</u>			

<sup>1</sup>Includes only those responses mentioned 5 percent or more.

\* p < .05  
 \*\*p < .01

APPENDIX I

Reasons for Private School Withdrawal<sup>1</sup>

By Percentage

	<u>Church 1</u>	<u>Church 2-12</u>	<u>Nonchurch</u>
<u>Group 1: Religion</u>			
<u>Group 2: Parental Values</u>			
Reputation; good reports.	6	8	1*
<u>Group 3: Discipline</u>			
<u>Group 4: School/Staff Interpersonal</u>			
Preferred particular public school or staff.	19	5	13*
<u>Group 5: Program</u>			
Preferred the environment of the public school.	6	6	11
Lack of college preparatory or enriched curriculum in private school.	0	13	10
Needed tutoring/extra help for special problems.	6	10	8
Individualization, personal attention desired.	6	8	9
<u>Group 6: Child-related</u>			
Child unhappy or depressed.	0	8	5
Child not living up to potential; underachieving.	0	10	6
Child's academic needs not being met.	0	10	4
Academic or personal needs were met.	0	6	13
Child's preferred to transfer.	6	12	6
<u>Group 7: Convenience</u>			
School had a good location; close to home.	13	12	21
Transportation available.	25	12	5*
Child desired to be with friends.	19	17	20
Family moved.	13	8	5
A good time to switch.	13	9	25**
<u>Group 8: Cost</u>			
Cost.	56	39	37

<sup>1</sup>Includes only those responses mentioned 5 percent or more.

\* p .05  
\*\*p .01

Attitudes of Parents Withdrawing Their Child From  
Private School Regarding Public and Private Schools

N=281

Satisfaction Items	Percentage Satisfied or Very Satisfied	
	Public	Private
	PERCENTAGE	
Student Achievement	78.8	84.5
Student School Satisfaction	88.7	77.7**
Acceptance of Other Students	92.6	89.7
Disruptive Classroom Behavior	69.1	85.5**
Disruptive School Behavior	68.1	86.2**
Abusive Language	55.7	81.6**
Crime and Vandalism	62.4	86.2**
Drug Abuse	60.3	78.4**
Intimidation and Victimization	71.6	85.5**
Unexcused Absences	81.4	88.9**
Individualization	77.0	74.5
Staff Academic Qualifications	77.3	81.9**
Staff Enthusiasm	86.2	84.0**
Staff Warmth and Sensitivity	85.5	81.6**
Teacher Turnover and Substitutes	64.4	77.9**
Student-Teacher Ratio	66.0	87.2**
Student Promotion Policy	67.9	78.9**
Staff Challenge of Students To Do Best	75.6	79.9
Amount of Homework	69.6	72.1**
Follow-up on Assigned Work	75.4	80.4
Opportunity To Repeat Grades in Different Settings	51.3	46.2**
Appropriate Books and Materials	88.3	84.8
Teaching Below Average, Handicapped Student <sup>2</sup>	64.5	35.8**
Teaching Average Students	85.1	85.1
Teaching Above Average Gifted Students	75.4	74.1*
Curriculum Structure	83.9	80.8
Elementary Level Basic Skills	75.1	82.9*
Secondary Level Academic Skills	42.9	40.9
Work-Study Skills	68.2	82.9**
College Preparatory Courses	38.6	37.0
Values	67.9	88.3**
Moral and Ethical Standards	67.1	92.9**
Religious Education	41.8	78.4**
Human Growth and Development	74.6	82.2
Attention to Parental Concerns	82.6	77.2**
Contacting Parents About Student Problems	76.5	79.8*
Attitude and Cooperation	88.6	80.9**
Aesthetic Appearance of Facility and Grounds	90.4	86.2
School Maintenance and Cleanliness	91.5	91.1
Number of School Days	88.6	90.1
School Distance from Home	98.6	64.5**
School Schedule Convenience	92.5	81.9**

<sup>1</sup> Sample Sizes differed, depending on number of missing responses for each item.

<sup>2</sup> Many respondents had no opinion in these areas.

\*  $p < .05$   
\*\*  $p < .01$

Attitudes of Parents Withdrawing Their Child From  
Private School Regarding Public Schools  
N=281<sup>1</sup>

Satisfaction Items	Percentage Satisfied or Vary Satisfied		
	Church 1	Church 2-12	Nonchurch
Student Achievement	69	75	87
Student School Satisfaction	81	87	92
Acceptance of Other Students	94	90	96
Disruptive Classroom Behavior	81	61	80**
Disruptive School Behavior	88	60	79**
Abusive Language	69	44	73**
Crime and Vandalism	69	56	72
Drug Abuse	69	56	66
Intimidation and Victimization	81	67	78
Unexcused Absences	94	81	81
Individualization	88	77	77
Staff Academic Qualifications	88	74	81
Staff Enthusiasm	94	85	87
Staff Warmth and Sensitivity	87	85	87
Teacher Turnover and Substitutes	81	62	67
Student-Teacher Ratio	88	71	56**
Student Promotion Policy	75	68	67
Staff Challenge of Students To Do Best	88	73	79
Amount of Homework	56	70	70*
Follow-up on Assigned Work	63	72	82*
Opportunity To Repeat Grades in Different Settings <sup>2</sup>	69	53	47
Appropriate Books and Materials	94	90	85
Teaching Below Average, Handicapped Student <sup>2</sup>	88	66	59*
Teaching Average Students	94	88	86
Teaching Above Average Gifted Students	81	73	78
Curriculum Structure	88	85	81
Elementary Level Basic Skills	88	74	76
Secondary Level Academic Skills	19	54	27**
Work-Study Skills	88	64	72
College Preparatory Courses	13	50	25**
Values	72	64	72**
Moral and Ethical Standards	86	60	75**
Religious Education	31	34	56**
Human Growth and Development	94	74	72
Attention to Parental Concerns	81	82	84*
Contacting Parents About Student Problems	81	77	76
Attitude and Cooperation	69	87	93**
Aesthetic Appearance of Facility & Grounds	88	89	93
School Maintenance and Cleanliness	88	89	96
Number of School Days	94	88	89
School Distance from Home	99	98	100
School Schedule Convenience	88	94	91

<sup>1</sup>This is the total sample size. Sample sizes differed, depending on number of missing responses for each item.

<sup>2</sup>Many respondents had no opinion in these areas.

\* p < .05  
\*\* p < .01



APPENDIX J-2

Attitudes of Parents Withdrawing Their Child From  
Private School Regarding Private Schools  
N=281<sup>1</sup>

Satisfaction Items	Percentage Satisfied or Very Satisfied		
	Church 1	Church 2-12	Nonchurch
Student Achievement	100	81	89
Student School Satisfaction	94	75	80
Acceptance of Other Students	100	89	88
Disruptive Classroom Behavior	94	85	85
Disruptive School Behavior	94	87	83
Abusive Language	94	83	78
Crime and Vandalism	100	85	86
Drug Abuse	81	82	72
Intimidation and Victimization	88	87	82
Unexcused Absences	94	88	89
Individualization	94	68	82**
Staff Academic Qualifications	100	77	86
Staff Enthusiasm	94	79	90
Staff Warmth and Sensitivity	87	77	87**
Teacher Turnover and Substitutes	94	80	73
Student-Teacher Ratio	88	84	93
Student Promotion Policy	94	77	79**
Staff Challenge of Students To Do Best	94	74	88**
Amount of Homework	81	74	67**
Follow-up on Assigned Work	88	80	80**
Opportunity To Repeat Grades in Different Settings <sup>2</sup>	63	44	47
Appropriate Books and Materials	100	82	87**
Teaching Below Average, Handicapped Student <sup>2</sup>	63	29	42**
Teaching Average Students	100	85	83
Teaching Above Average Gifted Students	81	71	79
Curriculum Structure	88	81	80*
Elementary Level Basic Skills	94	82	84
Secondary Level Academic Skills	19	49	31**
Work-Study Skills	100	81	84
College Preparatory Courses	25	46	26**
Values	100	90	85
Moral and Ethical Standards	94	95	89**
Religious Education	81	92	57**
Human Growth and Development	94	83	80
Attention to Parental Concerns	94	71	86**
Contacting Parents About Student Problems	94	75	86*
Attitude and Cooperation	94	77	85
Aesthetic Appearance of Facility & Grounds	94	85	87
School Maintenance and Cleanliness	94	91	90
Number of School Days	88	93	87
School Distance from Home	56	65	64
School Schedule Convenience	88	82	81

<sup>1</sup> Sample sizes differed, depending on number of missing responses for each item.

<sup>2</sup> Many respondents had no opinion in these areas.

\*  $P < .05$   
\*\*  $P < .01$

